

Contents

PAGE

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Statutes</i>	xxxiii
<i>Table of Foreign Legislation</i>	xxxix
<i>Table of Practice Directions</i>	xli
<i>Table of Conventions</i>	xliii

Chapter 1 Purpose of Administrative Law in Hong Kong 1

1. Purpose of Administrative Law in Hong Kong 1
2. Constitutional Basis for Judicial Review in Hong Kong 5
 - (a) Ultra Vires Doctrine 6
 - (b) Common Law Theory of Judicial Review 11
 - (c) Alternatives to Ultra Vires and Common Law Theories: Rights-based Approach, Abuse of Power and Fairness 12
 - (i) Rights-based Approach 12
 - (ii) Abuse of Power 13
 - (iii) Fairness 14
 - (d) Conclusion 14

Chapter 2 Government in the Hong Kong SAR 17

1. Introduction 17
2. Before 1997: Colonial Government in Hong Kong 17
3. 1997, Basic Law and 'New' Government of Hong Kong 22
 - (a) Implementing the New Government Structure: Challenges and Disappointments 26
4. Nature of Government in Hong Kong Today 58

Chapter 3 Non-Judicial Controls on Government 63

1. Introduction 63
2. Relationship between Judicial Review and Non-Judicial Controls 63
3. Red, Green and Amber Light Theories of Administrative Law 64
 - (a) Red Light Theory 65
 - (b) Green Light Theory 65
 - (c) Amber Light Theory 66
4. Non-Judicial Controls in Hong Kong 75
 - (a) Tribunals 75
 - (b) Commissions of Inquiry 90
 - (c) Ombudsman 100
 - (d) Political Accountability Through the Legislative Council 108
 - (i) Questions During Legislative Council Meetings 109
 - (ii) Special Committees/ Sub-Committees 109
 - (iii) Special Powers and Privileges of the Legislative Council 110

Chapter 4 Procedural Exclusivity and Public-Private Divide 117

1. Introduction 117

2. Exclusivity Principle.....	117	(a) Expertise	262
3. Exceptions to Exclusivity Principle	123	(b) Polycentric Decisions/ Policy Formulation	263
4. What is a 'Public Law' Matter?	134		
5. Conclusion	147		
Chapter 5 Leave and Standing.....	149	Chapter 8 Procedural Impropriety	271
1. Introduction.....	149	1. Introduction.....	271
2. Leave.....	149	2. Statutory Requirements.....	271
(a) Threshold for Granting Leave: Potential Arguability	151	3. Common Law Principles of Natural Justice	276
(b) Threshold for Granting Leave: Reasonable Arguability	156	(a) Right to a Fair Hearing	276
(c) Procedural Issues at Leave Stage	165	(b) Requirements for a Fair Hearing.....	283
3. Standing	167	(i) Notice and Hearing	283
(a) Rationale Behind Rules on Standing	168	(ii) Right to Challenge the Opposing Case	287
(b) Starting Point for Rules on Standing: 'Sufficient Interest'.....	170	(iii) Legal Representation	290
(c) Personal Standing.....	182	(iv) Reasons	295
(d) Representative Standing.....	184	(c) Limits on the Right to a Fair Hearing	302
		(i) National Security and Emergencies	302
		(ii) Waiver	306
		(d) Rule Against Bias.....	306
Chapter 6 Remedies	199	Chapter 9 Illegality	315
1. Introduction to Public and Private Law Remedies	199	1. Introduction.....	315
2. Public Law Remedies	201	2. Unfettered Statutory Discretion or Subjective Statutory Language.....	315
(a) Certiorari and Prohibition	201	3. Improper Purpose.....	320
(b) Mandamus.....	203	4. Excess of Power.....	329
3. Private Law Remedies	204	5. Relevant and Irrelevant Considerations	334
(a) Declarations	204	6. Fettering Discretion	341
(i) Academic or Hypothetical Declarations	206	7. Wrongful Delegation.....	347
(ii) Suspension of Declaration/Declarations of Temporary Validity	207	8. Error of Law and Jurisdiction	352
(b) Injunctions.....	208	9. Error of Fact.....	361
(c) Damages.....	209	10. Conclusion	366
(i) Damages for Private Law Wrongs	209		
(ii) Damages for Public Law Wrongs	211	Chapter 10 Irrationality	367
4. Discretion to Refuse a Remedy.....	212	1. Introduction.....	367
(a) Misconduct by the Applicant	212	2. Dual Use of Irrationality	367
(b) Futility.....	213	3. Using Irrationality with Other Grounds of Review	370
(i) Inapplicability or Impossibility of Relief.....	213	(a) Relevancy of Considerations	371
(ii) Interaction between Futility and Damages	215	(b) Material Error of Fact	379
(c) Delay	216	(c) Irrationality as a Longstop for Other Grounds of Review	381
(d) Suitable Alternative Remedy	218	(d) Irrationality as a Tool for Statutory Interpretation	382
		4. Irrationality as an Independent Ground of Review: Starting Point	384
Chapter 7 Express and Implied Limits on Judicial Review	223	5. Irrationality as an Independent Ground of Review: Use of the Original Threshold	387
1. Introduction.....	223	(a) Exercises of Statutory Discretion.....	387
2. Express Limits on Judicial Review	224	(b) Relative Expertise of Courts and the Decision Maker	389
(a) Constitutional Institutions	224	(i) Allocation of Scarce Resources	389
(i) Acts of State	224	(ii) Specialist Areas of Policy: Planning and Immigration.....	389
(ii) Prerogative of Mercy	226	(iii) Disciplinary Bodies.....	391
(iii) Prosecutorial Discretion.....	230	(c) Decisions Subject to Political Control	392
(b) Statutory Limitation	242		
(i) Time Limit Clauses	242		
(ii) Ouster Clauses	243		
(iii) Imprecise Statutory Provisions	256		
3. Implied Limits on Judicial Review	262		

6.	Irrationality as an Independent Ground of Review: Heightened Scrutiny (1) – Non-rights Cases	393
7.	Irrationality as an Independent Ground of Review: Heightened Scrutiny (2) – Human Rights Cases	396
	(a) Approach 1: Amendment of Original Wednesbury Test and Use of Anxious Scrutiny	396
	(b) Approach 2: Assimilation of Proportionality and Irrationality	400
	(c) Approach 3: Approach in Hong Kong	402
8.	Irrationality as an Independent Ground of Review: Evaluation	405
Chapter 11	Proportionality	411
1.	Introduction	411
2.	Nature of Proportionality	411
3.	Uses of Proportionality	412
	(a) Proportionality and Procedural Impropriety	412
	(b) Proportionality and Substantive Legitimate Expectations	417
	(c) Proportionality and Statutory Interpretation	419
	(d) Proportionality and Penalties	423
4.	Future of Proportionality	425
Chapter 12	Human Rights and Judicial Review	433
1.	Introduction	433
2.	System of Rights Protection in Hong Kong: BORO, Basic Law and the ICCPR	433
	(a) Rights in BORO and the Basic Law	433
	(b) 'Incorporation' of the ICCPR by BORO and the Basic Law	434
	(c) Constitutional Significance of BORO	435
3.	Assessing Restrictions on Rights: General Approach	436
4.	Assessing Restrictions on Rights: Interpretation of Rights	438
5.	Assessing Restrictions on Rights: Justifying Infringements	
	(1) – Proportionality and Other Tests	443
6.	Assessing Restrictions on Rights: Justifying Restrictions	
	(2) – Margin of Appreciation	454
7.	Assessing Restrictions on Rights: Remedies	466
Chapter 13	Legitimate Expectations	483
1.	Introduction	483
2.	How Legitimate Expectations Arise	484
3.	Protection of Legitimate Expectations	499
Index		517

TABLE OF CASES

A

A Company, In re [1981] AC 374	355
Abbasi v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs [2002] EWCA 1598	224
Airedale N.H.S. Trust v Bland [1993] AC 789	207
Aita Bahadur Limbu v Director of Immigration HCAL 133/1999, 10 December 1999, CFI	390
Aita Bahadur Limbu v Director of Immigration HCAL 133/1999, 10 December 1999, CFI	153
Akram v Secretary for Security [2000] 1 HKLRD 164	249
Alconbury Developments Ltd & Ors v Secretary of State for the Environment, Transport and the Regions [2003] 2 AC 295, HL	338
American Cyanamid Co v Ethicon Ltd [1975] AC 396	209
Amoy Properties Ltd v The Committee for Takeovers and Mergers and the Commissioner for Securities [1989] 1 HKLR 170 (HC)	314
An Bord Bainne Cooperative Ltd v Milk Marketing Board [1984] 2 CMLR 584	128, 130
Anderson Asphalt & Ors v The Secretary for Justice [2009] HKCU 378 CFI	134, 140
Anderson Asphalt and Others v Town Planning Board and Another [2007] 3 HKLRD 18, CA	181, 182
Anisminic Ltd v Foreign Compensation Commission (No 2) [1969] 1 All ER 208	250, 251, 252, 253, 255
Anisminic Ltd v Foreign Compensation Commission [1969] 2 AC 147, HL	243, 353, 355, 356, 358, 359
Apple Daily Ltd v Commissioner of the Independent Commission against Corruption [2000] 1 HKC 295	202
Armah v Government of Ghana [1966] 3 All ER 177	245
Ashbury Railway Carriage and Iron Co Ltd v Riche (1875) LR 7 HL 653	330
Asia Master Ltd v Commissioner of Inland Revenue [2006] HKEC 2187 CFA	218
Associated Provincial Picture Houses Ltd v Wednesbury Corp [1947] 2 All ER 680, [1948] 1 KB 223	6, 354, 368, 373, 376, 382, 384, 386, 387, 389, 399, 427, 503, 510, 512
Association of Expatriate Civil Servants of Hong Kong v Secretary for the Civil Service (1996) 6 HKPLR 333	403, 404
Attorney General of Hong Kong v Ng Yuen Shiu [1983] 2 AC 629	503
Attorney-General of Hong Kong v Ng Yuen Shiu [1983] 2 All ER 346, PC	347
Attorney-General of Hong Kong v Ng Yuen Shiu [1983] HKC 23	500
Attorney-General v Fulham Corp [1912] 1 Ch 440	330
Attorney-General v Great Eastern Rly Co (1880) 5 App Cas 473	330
Attorney-General v Ng Yuen Shiu [1983] 2 AC 629, PC	281
Au Kwok Hung, Re [2001] 1 HKLRD 169	274
Auckland Casino Ltd v Casino Control Authority [1995] 1 NZLR 142	308

B

Barnard v National Dock Labour Board [1953] 2 QB 18, CA	350–351
Bhagat Singh v King-Emperor (1931) LR 58 IA 169 (PC)	305