

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xv
1. Legal Doctrine: Which Method(s) for What Kind of Discipline? <i>Mark Van Hoecke</i>	1
I. Historical Developments	1
II. What Kind of Discipline is Legal Doctrine?	4
III. Which Methodology for Legal Research?	11
IV. Conclusion	17
2. The Method of a Truly Normative Legal Science <i>Jaap Hage</i>	19
I. Preliminaries	20
II. The Possibility of a Normative Science	28
III. The Method of a Truly Normative Legal Science	40
IV. Conclusion	43
3. Explanatory Non-Normative Legal Doctrine. Taking the Distinction between Theoretical and Practical Reason Seriously <i>Anne Ruth Mackor</i>	45
I. Introduction	45
II. Theoretical and Practical Reason	46
III. Explanatory Legal Doctrine	48
IV. Normative Legal Doctrine	58
V. Conclusion	69
4. A World without Law Professors <i>Mathias M Siems</i>	71
I. Introduction	71
II. Legal Training and Education	72
III. Legal Research and Writing	78
IV. Analysis: What Next ?	85
5. Open or Autonomous? The Debate on Legal Methodology as a Reflection of the Debate on Law <i>Pauline C Westerman</i>	87
I. Introduction	87
II. The Problem of the Lacking Third	88
III. Legal System as Theoretical Framework	90

IV.	Legal Doctrine and Legal Science	94
V.	The Quest for Ongoing Abstraction	95
VI.	Empty Autonomy	97
VII.	Revenge of Reality	101
VIII.	The Need for an Empirical Orientation	105
IX.	An Empirical Legal Doctrine?	108
6.	Methodology of Legal Doctrinal Research: A Comment on Westerman <i>Jan Vranken</i>	111
I.	Introduction	111
II.	The Identity of Subject and Theoretical Framework: Four Objections	114
III.	Methodological Consequences	118
7.	The Epistemological Function of ‘la Doctrine’ <i>Horatia Muir Watt</i>	123
I.	On the Choice, as a Topic, of the Epistemological Function Played Out in French Legal Tradition by ‘la Doctrine’	123
II.	The Current Debates over the Existence and Future of ‘la Doctrine’ and why they are Significant	125
III.	How the Emergence of ‘la Doctrine’ is Linked to the Decline of the Code and the Massification of ‘la Jurisprudence’	126
IV.	How the Changing Relationship between Law and the other Social Sciences is Relevant to the Rise of ‘la Doctrine’ and to the Subsequent Shaping of Legal Knowledge	128
V.	Why ‘la Doctrine’ is Threatened Today in its Interpretative Function	129
VI.	Why the Current Crisis may be for the Better – and may be Good for Comparative Legal Research	131
8.	Maps, Methodologies and Critiques: Confessions of a Contract Lawyer <i>Roger Brownsword</i>	133
I.	Introduction	133
II.	An Ideological Understanding of Adjudication and of Contract Law	135
III.	The Rationality of Contract Law	137
IV.	The Underlying Ethic of Contract Law	139
V.	The Fit between Doctrine and Business Organisation	143
VI.	The Consent-Based Nature of Contractual Obligation	145
VII.	The Mission of Protecting Reasonable Expectations	146
VIII.	Contract and the Larger Regulatory Environment	148
IX.	Conclusion	152

9. Legal Research and the Distinctiveness of Comparative Law	155
<i>John Bell</i>	
I. Introduction: Legal Research as a Normative Social Science	155
II. Hermeneutic Approach to Legal Research	158
III. The Institutional Character of Law	161
IV. The Interpretative Character of Law	164
V. Implications for Comparative Law	167
VI. Conclusion	175
10. Does One Need an Understanding of Methodology in Law Before One Can Understand Methodology in Comparative Law?	177
<i>Geoffrey Samuel</i>	
I. The Problem of Interdisciplinarity	178
II. Methodology and the Status of Comparative Law	182
III. Methodology and Epistemology in the Social Sciences	188
IV. Methodology and Epistemology in Law	192
V. Positivism (Causality) Versus Hermeneutics	194
VI. Positivism (Causality) Versus Dialectics	198
VII. Positivism versus Actionalism and Objectification	200
VIII. Paradigm Authoritarianism Versus Comparative Studies	205
11. Comparative Law, Legal Linguistics and Methodology of Legal Doctrine	209
<i>Jaakko Husa</i>	
I. Introduction	209
II. Background of Functionalism	212
III. From Rabel to Zweigert and Kötz	215
IV. Legal Languages and Functionalism	223
V. Conclusion	227
12. Doing What Doesn't Come Naturally. On the Distinctiveness of Comparative Law	229
<i>Maurice Adams</i>	
I. 'Doing' Law is Immutably Comparative	229
II. . . . 'And Yet it Moves!'	230
III. Explanatory Comparative Law and Interdisciplinarity	235
IV. To Conclude	239
13. Promises and Pitfalls of Interdisciplinary Legal Research: The Case of Evolutionary Analysis in Law	241
<i>Bart Du Laing</i>	
I. Introduction	241
II. Contemporary Evolutionary Approaches to Human Behaviour and Evolutionary Analysis in Law	244
III. Taxonomising Evolutionary Analyses in Law: Three Questions	248

14. Behavioural Economics and Legal Research	257
<i>Julie De Coninck</i>	
I. Introduction	257
II. Behavioural Economics	258
III. Behavioural Law and Economics	262
IV. Closing Remarks	275
15. Theory and Object in Law: the Case for Legal Scholarship as Indirect Speech	277
<i>Bert Van Roermund</i>	
I. Legal Scholarship Pre-Determined by the Law it Investigates?	278
II. Theoretical Language as Meta-Language?	282
III. Some Implications	284
<i>Index</i>	287