

# Contents

---

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	li

## PART ONE: THE FORMATION OF A CONTRACT

<b>1. OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE</b>	<b>3</b>
1. Introduction	3
(1) What is a Contract?	3
(2) Offer and Acceptance	4
2. Offers and Invitations to Treat	4
(1) Two General Illustrative Cases	5
<i>Harvey v Facey</i>	5
<i>Gibson v Manchester City Council</i>	5
(2) Display of Goods for Sale	7
<i>Fisher v Bell</i>	7
<i>Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain v Boots Cash Chemists (Southern) Ltd</i>	8
(3) Advertisements	10
<i>Partridge v Crittenden</i>	10
<i>Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Company</i>	11
(4) Auction Sales	15
<i>Barry v Davies</i>	15
(5) Tenders	19
<i>Spencer v Harding</i>	19
<i>Harvela Investments Ltd v Royal Trust Company of Canada (CI) Ltd</i>	20
<i>Blackpool and Fylde Aeroclub Ltd v Blackpool Borough Council</i>	22
3. Acceptance	25
(1) Acceptance by Conduct	26
<i>Brogden v Metropolitan Railway Co</i>	26
(2) 'Battle of the Forms'	27
<i>Butler Machine Tool Co Ltd v Ex-Cell-O Corporation (England) Ltd</i>	27
(3) Communication of Acceptance	33
(a) The General Rule: Acceptance Must Be Received by Offeror	33
<i>Entores Ltd v Miles Far East Corporation</i>	33
<i>Brinkibon Ltd v Stahag Stahl und Stahlwarenhandels-gesellschaft mbH</i>	35
(b) Acceptance by Post	37
<i>Household Fire and Carriage Accident Insurance Co Ltd v Grant</i>	37

<i>Holwell Securities Ltd v Hughes</i>	39
(c) Waiver by Offeror of the Need for Communication of Acceptance	41
<i>Felthouse v Bindley</i>	41
(4) Prescribed Mode of Acceptance	43
<i>Manchester Diocesan Council for Education v Commercial and General Investments Ltd</i>	43
(5) Acceptance in Ignorance of an Offer	45
<i>R v Clarke</i>	45
(6) Acceptance in Unilateral Contracts	47
<i>Errington v Errington</i>	47
<i>Soulsbury v Soulsbury</i>	48
4. Termination of an Offer	49
(1) Revocation	50
<i>Byrne &amp; Co v Van Tienhoven &amp; Co</i>	50
<i>Dickinson v Dodds</i>	51
(2) Rejection	54
<i>Hyde v Wrench</i>	54
<i>Stevenson, Jacques &amp; Co v McLean</i>	54
5. Problematic Offer and Acceptance	55
<i>The Satanita</i>	56
Additional Reading	57
<b>2. CERTAINTY AND INTENTION TO CREATE LEGAL RELATIONS</b>	<b>61</b>
1. Certainty	61
(1) Vagueness	61
<i>Hillas &amp; Co Ltd v Arcos Ltd</i>	61
<i>Scammell and Nephew Ltd v Ouston</i>	64
(2) Price Not Fixed	66
<i>May and Ewicher Ltd v R</i>	66
<i>Sudbrook Trading Estate Ltd v Eggleton</i>	68
(3) Agreements to Negotiate	71
<i>Walford v Miles</i>	71
(4) A Non-contractual Solution	75
<i>British Steel Corporation v Cleveland Bridge and Engineering Co Ltd</i>	75
2. Intention to Create Legal Relations	78
(1) Social and Domestic Agreements	79
<i>Balfour v Balfour</i>	79
<i>Jones v Padavatton</i>	81
(2) Commercial Agreements	82
<i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Commissioners of Customs and Excise</i>	82
Additional Reading	86
<b>3. CONSIDERATION AND PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL</b>	<b>87</b>
1. Consideration	87

(1) The Consideration Need Not Be Adequate	88
<i>Chappell &amp; Co Ltd v Nestlé Co Ltd</i>	88
(2) Past Consideration Does Not Count	91
<i>Eastwood v Kenyon</i>	91
<i>PaO On v Lau Yiu Long</i>	93
(3) Consideration and the Promise to Perform, or Performance of, a Pre-existing Duty	95
<i>Collins v Godefroy</i>	95
<i>Glasbrook Brothers Ltd v Glamorgan County Council</i>	96
<i>Ward v Byham</i>	98
<i>Williams v Williams</i>	99
(b) Pre-existing Duty under a Contract with a Third Party	100
<i>Shadwell v Shadwell</i>	100
<i>Scotson v Pegg</i>	102
<i>PaO On v Lau Yiu Long</i>	103
(c) Pre-existing Duty under a Contract with the Promisor	104
<i>Stilk v Myrick</i>	104
<i>Williams v Roffey Bros &amp; Nicholls (Contractors) Ltd</i>	105
<i>Foakes v Beer</i>	112
<i>Re Selectmove Ltd</i>	115
2. Promissory Estoppel	117
(1) The Emergence of Promissory Estoppel	118
<i>Hughes v Metropolitan Rly Co</i>	118
<i>Central London Property Trust Ltd v High Trees House Ltd</i>	119
<i>Collier v P &amp; MJ Wright (Holdings) Ltd</i>	121
(2) Promissory Estoppel Not a Cause of Action	123
<i>Combe v Combe</i>	123
<i>Crabb v Arun District Council</i>	125
<i>Amalgamated Investment &amp; Property Co Ltd v Texas Commerce         International Bank Ltd</i>	129
<i>Waltons Stores (Interstate) Ltd v Maher</i>	132
<i>Baird Textiles Holdings Ltd v Marks &amp; Spencer plc</i>	137
(3) Promissory Estoppel Requires a Clear and Unequivocal Promise	141
<i>Woodhouse AC Israel Cocoa SA v Nigerian Produce Marketing Co Ltd</i>	141
(4) Does Promissory Estoppel Require Reliance or Detrimental Reliance?	143
<i>WJ Alan &amp; Co Ltd v El Nasr Export and Import Co</i>	143
<i>Société Italo-Belge pour le Commerce et l'Industrie SA v Palm and         Vegetable Oils (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd, The Post Chaser</i>	145
(5) Promissory Estoppel Cannot be Founded on a Promise Induced by the Promisee's Inequitable Conduct	147
<i>D &amp; C Builders Ltd v Rees</i>	147
(6) Does Promissory Estoppel Extinguish or Suspend Rights?	149
<i>Tool Metal Manufacturing Co Ltd v Tungsten Electric Co Ltd</i>	149
Additional Reading	152

## PART TWO: THE TERMS OF A CONTRACT

<b>4. IDENTIFYING THE TERMS</b>	<b>157</b>
1. Terms or Mere Representations?	157
<i>Oscar Chess Ltd v Williams</i>	158
<i>Dick Bentley Productions Ltd v Harold Smith (Motors) Ltd</i>	162
<i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Mardon</i>	164
2. Incorporation of a Party's Written Terms	166
(1) Signature	166
<i>L'Estrange v F Graucob Ltd</i>	166
<i>Curtis v Chemical Cleaning and Dyeing Co</i>	168
(2) Reasonable Notice	170
<i>Parker v The South Eastern Railway Company</i>	170
<i>Chapelton v Barry Urban District Council</i>	172
<i>Olley v Marlborough Court Ltd</i>	173
<i>Thornton v Shoe Lane Parking Ltd</i>	175
<i>Interfoto Picture Library Ltd v Stiletto Visual Programmes Ltd</i>	178
<i>AEG (UK) Ltd v Logic Resource Ltd</i>	181
<i>O'Brien v MGN Ltd</i>	186
(3) Course of Dealing	188
<i>McCutcheon v David MacBrayne Ltd</i>	188
<i>Hollier v Rambler Motors (AMC) Ltd</i>	190
(4) The Parties' Trade Practice	192
<i>British Crane Hire Corporation Ltd v Ipswich Plant Hire Ltd</i>	192
3. Implied Terms	194
(1) Terms Implied by the Courts	194
(a) Terms Implied by Fact	195
<i>The Moorcock</i>	195
<i>Attorney-General of Belize v Belize Telecom Ltd</i>	199
<i>Yam Seng Pte Limited v International Trade Corporation Limited</i>	203
(b) Terms Implied by Law	211
<i>Liverpool City Council v Irwin</i>	211
<i>Shell UK Ltd v Lostock Garage Ltd</i>	216
<i>Scally v Southern Health and Social Services Board</i>	218
(2) Terms Implied by Statute	222
(a) Contracts for the Sale of Goods	222
<i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, sections 12–15</i>	222
(b) Contracts for Services	226
<i>Supply of Goods and Services Act 1982, sections 13–15</i>	226
Additional Reading	226
<b>5. INTERPRETING THE TERMS</b>	<b>229</b>
1. The Modern Approach to Construction	229
<i>Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd v West Bromwich Building Society</i>	229
<i>Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd</i>	232

2.	Construction of Exemption Clauses	238
(1)	Excluding or Limiting Liability for Negligence	238
	<i>Alderslade v Hendon Laundry Ltd</i>	238
	<i>Canada Steamship Lines Ltd v R</i>	240
	<i>Hollier v Rambler Motors (AMC) Ltd</i>	243
(2)	Excluding or Limiting Liability for Fundamental Breach	246
	<i>Photo Production Ltd v Securicor Transport Ltd</i>	246
(3)	Construing Limitation Clauses	251
	<i>Ailsa Craig Fishing Co Ltd v Malvern Fishing Co Ltd</i>	251
	<i>George Mitchell (Chesterhall) Ltd v Finney Lock Seeds Ltd</i>	253
	Additional Reading	256
<b>6.</b>	<b>STATUTORY CONTROL OF UNFAIR TERMS</b>	<b>259</b>
1.	The Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	260
	<i>The Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977, sections 1–14, 26–27, 29, Schedules 1 and 2</i>	260
(1)	Overview of the Central Scheme of UCTA 1977	267
(2)	Excluding Liability for Breach of the Statutory Implied Terms in Contracts for the Sale of Goods and Analogous Contracts	269
(3)	Cases on UCTA 1977	269
(a)	What is Meant by ‘Dealing as Consumer’?	270
	<i>R &amp; B Customs Brokers Co Ltd v United Dominions Trust Ltd</i>	270
(b)	What Counts as an Exclusion of Liability, or as Equivalent to such an Exclusion, so as to Fall within the Act?	273
	<i>Phillips Products Ltd v Hyland</i>	273
	<i>Thompson v T Lohan (Plant Hire) Ltd</i>	276
	<i>Smith v Eric S Bush</i>	278
	<i>Stewart Gill Ltd v Horatio Myer &amp; Co Ltd</i>	280
	<i>Tudor Grange Holdings Ltd v Citibank NA</i>	282
(c)	Does the Clause Pass, or Fail, the Reasonableness Test?	284
	<i>George Mitchell (Chesterhall) Ltd v Finney Lock Seeds Ltd</i>	284
	<i>Phillips Products Ltd v Hyland</i>	287
	<i>Smith v Eric S Bush</i>	290
	<i>Stewart Gill Ltd v Horatio Myer &amp; Co Ltd</i>	292
	<i>St Albans City and District Council v International Computers Ltd</i>	294
	<i>Watford Electronics Ltd v Sanderson CFL Ltd</i>	297
2.	The Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1999	301
	<i>Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1999</i>	301
(1)	Overview of the Central Scheme of the 1999 Regulations	308
(2)	What is the Relationship Between the 1999 Regulations and UCTA 1977?	308
(3)	Case-law on the 1999 Regulations	309
	<i>Director General of Fair Trading v First National Bank Plc</i>	309
(4)	A Note on Good Faith	315
3.	Reform of the Legislation on Unfair Terms	316
	Additional Reading	317

## PART THREE: REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

<b>7. TERMINATION</b>	<b>321</b>
1. The Meaning of Termination for Breach	321
<i>Photo Production Ltd v Securicor Transport Ltd</i>	322
2. Conditions, Warranties and Innominate Terms	324
<i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, sections 12–15</i>	324
<i>Hongkong Fir Shipping Co Ltd v Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd</i>	324
<i>Maredelanto Compania Naviera SA v Bergbau – Handel GmbH,</i> <i>The Mihalis Angelos</i>	328
<i>L Schuler AG v Wickman Machine Tool Sales Ltd</i>	331
<i>Cehave NV v Bremer Handelsgesellschaft mbH, The Hansa Nord</i>	334
<i>Bunge Corporation v Tradax Export SA</i>	336
<i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, section 15A</i>	339
3. Termination Clauses	340
<i>Lombard North Central plc v Butterworth</i>	340
<i>Rice v Great Yarmouth Borough Council</i>	343
4. Anticipatory Breach	347
<i>Hochster v De La Tour</i>	347
5. Restitution after Termination for Breach	349
Additional Reading	350
 <b>8. DAMAGES</b>	 <b>353</b>
1. Compensatory Damages	353
(1) Basic Issues in Applying the Compensatory Aim	354
(a) The Precise Aim of Contractual Compensation: Protecting the Expectation Interest	354
<i>Robinson v Harman</i>	354
(b) Difference in the Value of Property or Cost of Cure?	355
<i>Radford v de Froberville</i>	355
<i>Ruxley Electronics and Construction Ltd v Forsyth</i>	358
(c) Assessment According to the Chances	363
<i>Chaplin v Hicks</i>	363
(d) Contractual Damages Protecting the Reliance Interest?	366
<i>Anglia TV v Reed</i>	366
<i>C &amp; P Haulage v Middleton</i>	368
<i>CCC Films (London) Ltd v Impact Quadrant Films Ltd</i>	370
<i>Omak Maritime Ltd v Mamola Challenger Shipping Co,</i> <i>The Mamola Challenger</i>	372
(e) The Date for Assessment of Damages	376
<i>Golden Strait Corp v Nippon Yusen Kubishika Kaisha,</i> <i>The Golden Victory</i>	376
(2) Limitations on Compensation	381
(a) Remoteness	381
<i>Hadley v Baxendale</i>	381

<i>Victoria Laundry (Windsor) Ltd v Newman Industries Ltd</i>	382
<i>Koufos v C Czarnikow Ltd, The Heron II</i>	385
<i>Parsons (Livestock) Ltd v Uttley Ingham &amp; Co Ltd</i>	388
<i>Brown v KMR Services Ltd</i>	393
<i>Jackson v Royal Bank of Scotland plc</i>	396
<i>Transfield Shipping Inc v Mercator Shipping Inc, The Achilles</i>	398
(b) Intervening Cause	410
<i>Quinn v Burch Bros (Builders) Ltd</i>	410
<i>Galoo Ltd v Bright Grahame Murray</i>	411
(c) The Duty to Mitigate and Mitigation	413
<i>British Westinghouse Electric v Underground Electric Railways Co of London Ltd</i>	413
(d) Contributory Negligence	415
<i>Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945 sections 1(1) and 4</i>	415
<i>Forsikringsaktieselskapet Vesta v Butcher</i>	416
<i>Barclays Bank Plc v Fairclough Building Ltd</i>	418
(e) Mental Distress	421
<i>Addis v Gramophone Co Ltd</i>	421
<i>Jarvis v Swan's Tours Ltd</i>	423
<i>Watts v Morrow</i>	424
<i>Farley v Skinner</i>	426
(f) Loss of Reputation	433
<i>Mahmud v Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA</i>	433
2. Restitutionary Damages/An Account of Profits	436
<i>Attorney General v Blake</i>	436
<i>Experience Hendrix LLC v PPX Enterprises Inc</i>	442
3. Agreed/Liquidated Damages	448
<i>Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Ltd v New Garage and Motor Co Ltd</i>	448
<i>Philips Hong Kong Ltd v Attorney General of Hong Kong</i>	450
Additional Reading	453
<b>9. DIRECT ENFORCEMENT</b>	<b>459</b>
1. The Award of an Agreed Sum	459
<i>White and Carter (Councils) Ltd v McGregor</i>	460
<i>Clea Shipping Corporation v Bulk Oil International Ltd, The Alaskan Trader</i>	464
<i>Reichman v Beveridge</i>	466
2. Specific Performance	468
(1) The Primary Restriction—Adequacy of Damages	468
<i>Falcke v Gray</i>	468
<i>Sky Petroleum Ltd v VIP Petroleum Ltd</i>	469
<i>Beswick v Beswick</i>	471
(2) The Constant Supervision Objection	472
<i>Co-operative Insurance Society Ltd v Argyll Stores (Holdings) Ltd</i>	472
(3) Contracts for Personal Service	477

	<i>Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992,</i>	
	<i>section 236</i>	477
	<i>Powell v Brent London Borough Council</i>	478
(4)	Want of Mutuality	479
	<i>Price v Strange</i>	479
3.	Injunctions	483
	<i>Lumley v Wagner</i>	484
	<i>Warner Bros Pictures Inc v Nelson</i>	485
	<i>Page One Records v Britton</i>	486
	<i>Warren v Mendy</i>	487
	<i>LauritzenCool AB v Lady Navigation Inc</i>	491
	Additional Reading	493

## PART FOUR: PRIVACY OF CONTRACT AND THIRD PARTY RIGHTS

<b>10.</b>	<b>PRIVITY OF CONTRACT AND THIRD PARTY RIGHTS</b>	<b>497</b>
1.	Introduction	497
2.	Four Cases Establishing or Confirming the Privity Doctrine (on its Benefit Side)	498
	<i>Tweddle v Atkinson</i>	498
	<i>Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co Ltd v Selfridge</i>	499
	<i>Scruttons Ltd v Midland Silicones Ltd</i>	501
	<i>Beswick v Beswick</i>	504
3.	Exceptions to Privity (on its Benefit Side)	509
	(1) Agency	510
	(2) Assignment	511
	(3) Trusts of the Promise	511
	(4) Statutory Exceptions	511
	(5) Covenants Concerning Land	512
	(6) The Tort of Negligence	512
	(7) Enforcement by Third Parties of Exemption Clauses	512
	<i>New Zealand Shipping Co Ltd v AM Satterthwaite &amp; Co Ltd,</i>	
	<i>The Eurymedon</i>	512
4.	The Promisee's Remedies in a Contract Made for the Benefit of a Third Party	517
	(1) Specific Performance and Injunctions	517
	<i>Beswick v Beswick</i>	517
	(2) Damages	517
	<i>Jackson v Horizon Holidays Ltd</i>	517
	<i>Woodar Investment Development Ltd v Wimpey Construction UK Ltd</i>	519
	<i>Darlington Borough Council v Wiltshier Northern Ltd</i>	523
	<i>Alfred McAlpine Construction Ltd v Panatown Ltd</i>	526



5.	The Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999	536
	<i>Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999</i>	536
	(1) The Tests of Enforceability	541
	<i>Nisshin Shipping Co Ltd v Cleaves &amp; Co Ltd</i>	543
	<i>Laemthong International Lines Company Ltd v Artis, The Laemthong Glory (No 2)</i>	550
	(2) Variation and Rescission by the Contracting Parties	554
	(3) Defences Available to the Promisor	555
	(4) Promisee's Rights	556
	(5) Exclusions from the Act	557
	(6) Existing Exceptions	557
	(7) The Relationship with the Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	558
	(8) The Meaning of 'Contract' under the 1999 Act	558
6.	Exceptions to the Privity Doctrine on its Burden Side	558
	(1) Bailment or Sub-bailment on Terms	559
	<i>Morris v C W Martin &amp; Sons Ltd</i>	559
	<i>KH Enterprise v Pioneer Container, The Pioneer Container</i>	560
	(2) Burden Running with Goods	561
	<i>Lord Strathcona Steamship Company Ltd v Dominion Coal Company Ltd</i>	561
	<i>Swiss Bank Corporation v Lloyds Bank Ltd</i>	564
	Additional Reading	567

## PART FIVE: FACTORS ALLOWING ESCAPE FROM A CONTRACT

<b>11.</b>	<b>MISREPRESENTATION</b>	<b>573</b>
1.	Requirements of Misrepresentation	574
	(1) False Statement of Fact or Law	574
	(a) Statements of Opinion	574
	<i>Smith v Land and House Property Corporation</i>	574
	<i>Bisset v Wilkinson</i>	576
	(b) Statements of Intention	577
	<i>Edgington v Fitzmaurice</i>	577
	(c) Silence: No Duty of Disclosure	578
	<i>With v O'Flanagan</i>	579
	(2) Reliance	580
	<i>Redgrave v Hurd</i>	580
	<i>Edgington v Fitzmaurice</i>	583
2.	Rescission for Misrepresentation	584
	(1) What Does Rescission Mean?	584
	<i>Whittington v Seale-Hayne</i>	585
	(2) Bars to Rescission	586
	<i>Leaf v International Galleries</i>	586
	<i>Erlanger v New Sombbrero Phosphate Co</i>	589

(3) How Does One Rescind?	590
<i>Car and Universal Finance Co Ltd v Caldwell</i>	590
3. Damages for Misrepresentation	593
(1) Fraudulent Misrepresentation: the Tort of Deceit	593
<i>Derry v Peek</i>	593
<i>Doyle v Olby (Ironmongers) Ltd</i>	595
<i>Smith New Court Ltd v Scrimgeour Vickers (Asset Management) Ltd</i>	596
(2) Negligent Misrepresentation Actionable in the Tort of Negligence	599
<i>Hedley Byrne &amp; Co Ltd v Heller &amp; Partners Ltd</i>	599
<i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Mardon</i>	600
(3) Negligent and Purely Innocent Misrepresentation under the Misrepresentation Act 1967	602
<i>Misrepresentation Act 1967, section 2</i>	602
(a) Overview of Section 2	602
(b) Cases on Section 2(1) of the Misrepresentation Act 1967	603
<i>Howard Marine and Dredging Co Ltd v A Ogden &amp; Sons (Excavations) Ltd</i>	603
<i>Roy Scot Trust Ltd v Rogerson</i>	604
(c) Cases on Section 2(2) of the Misrepresentation Act 1967	608
<i>William Sindall Plc v Cambridgeshire County Council</i>	608
<i>Government of Zanzibar v British Aerospace (Lancaster House) Ltd</i>	612
4. Exclusion of Liability for Misrepresentation, Misrepresentation Act 1967, Section 3	614
<i>Misrepresentation Act 1967, section 3</i>	615
(1) What Counts as an Exemption Clause Falling Within Section 3?	615
<i>Overbrooke Estates Ltd v Glencombe Properties Ltd</i>	615
<i>Cremdean Properties Ltd v Nash</i>	617
<i>Government of Zanzibar v British Aerospace (Lancaster House) Ltd</i>	618
(2) Does the Clause Pass or Fail the Reasonableness Test?	620
<i>Howard Marine &amp; Dredging Co v A Ogden &amp; Sons (Excavations) Ltd</i>	620
<i>Walker v Boyle</i>	621
<i>Cleaver v Schyde Investments Ltd</i>	622
Additional Reading	625
<b>12. MISTAKE</b>	<b>629</b>
1. Unilateral Mistake	630
(1) The General Position: No Escape for Unilateral Mistake	630
<i>Smith v Hughes</i>	630
(2) Exceptions where Unilateral Mistake Invalidates the Contract	632
(a) Objective Ambiguity	632
<i>Raffles v Wichelhaus</i>	632
<i>Scriven Brothers &amp; Co v Hindley &amp; Co</i>	633
(b) Unilateral Mistake as to Terms or Identity Known to the Other Party	635
<i>Hartog v Colin &amp; Shields</i>	635

	<i>Cundy v Lindsay</i>	636
	<i>Phillips v Brooks Ltd</i>	638
	<i>Ingram v Little</i>	639
	<i>Lewis v Averay</i>	642
	<i>Shogun Finance Ltd v Hudson</i>	644
(c)	Non Est Factum	654
	<i>Saunders v Anglia Building Society (sub nom Gallie v Lee)</i>	654
(d)	Equitable Rectification for Unilateral Mistake	658
	<i>Thomas Bates &amp; Son Ltd v Wyndham's (Lingerie) Ltd</i>	658
	<i>Commission for the New Towns v Cooper (GB) Ltd</i>	660
2.	Common Mistake	663
(1)	The Narrow Scope of Common Mistake Rendering a Contract Void	663
	<i>Couturier v Hastie</i>	663
	<i>Bell v Lever Brothers Ltd</i>	664
	<i>McRae v Commonwealth Disposals Commission</i>	668
	<i>Associated Japanese Bank International Ltd v Credit du Nord SA</i>	669
	<i>Great Peace Shipping Ltd v Tsavliris Salvage (International) Ltd, The Great Peace</i>	672
	<i>Brennan v Bolt Burdon</i>	676
(2)	A Wider Equitable Doctrine of Common Mistake Rejected	678
	<i>Solle v Butcher</i>	678
	<i>Great Peace Shipping Ltd v Tsavliris Salvage (International) Ltd, The Great Peace</i>	681
(3)	Equitable Rectification for Common Mistake	683
	<i>Rose (Frederick E) (London) v Pim (William H) Junior &amp; Co</i>	683
	<i>Joscelyn v Nissen</i>	685
	<i>Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd</i>	687
	Additional Reading	690
<b>13.</b>	<b>FRUSTRATION</b>	<b>695</b>
1.	The Early Approach of Absolute Liability	696
	<i>Paradine v Jane</i>	696
2.	The Kinds of Events that Amount to Frustration	696
(1)	Physical Impossibility	696
	<i>Taylor v Caldwell</i>	696
	<i>Jackson v Union Marine Insurance Co Ltd</i>	698
(2)	Legal Impossibility (ie Subsequent Illegality)	699
	<i>Metropolitan Water Board v Dick Kerr and Co Ltd</i>	699
(3)	Cancellation of Events	701
	<i>Krell v Henry</i>	701
	<i>Herne Bay Steam Boat Company v Hutton</i>	702
(4)	No Frustration Merely because Performance Made More Onerous/Expensive	704
	<i>Davis Contractors Ltd v Fareham Urban District Council</i>	704
	<i>Ocean Tramp Tankers Corporation v V/O Sovfracht, The Eugenia</i>	708
3.	Factors Excluding Frustration	710

(1) Self-induced Frustration	710
<i>Maritime National Fish Ltd v Ocean Trawlers Ltd</i>	710
<i>J Lauritzen AS v Wijsmuller BV, The Super Servant Two</i>	711
(2) Express Provision	714
<i>Metropolitan Water Board v Dick Kerr and Co Ltd</i>	714
4. The Juristic Basis of Frustration	716
<i>National Carriers v Panalpina (Northern) Ltd</i>	716
5. The Effects of Frustration	719
<i>Law Reform (Frustrated Contracts) Act 1943</i>	720
(1) Overview of the 1943 Act	722
(2) Cases on the 1943 Act	723
<i>BP Exploration Co (Libya) v Hunt (No 2)</i>	723
<i>Gamerco SA v ICM/Fair Warning (Agency) Ltd</i>	730
6. The Relationship between Common Mistake and Frustration	732
<i>Amalgamated Investment &amp; Property Co v John Walker &amp; Sons Ltd</i>	732
Additional Reading	734
<b>14. DURESS</b>	<b>737</b>
1. Duress of the Person	738
<i>Barton v Armstrong</i>	738
2. Economic Duress	741
(1) Threats to Break (or to Induce Another to Break) a Contract	741
<i>North Ocean Shipping Co Ltd v Hyundai Construction Co Ltd,</i>	
<i>The Atlantic Baron</i>	741
<i>PaO On v Lau Yiu Long</i>	743
<i>Universe Tankships of Monrovia v International Transport Workers</i>	
<i>Federation, The Universe Sentinel</i>	744
<i>B &amp; S Contracts &amp; Design Ltd v Victor Green Publications Ltd</i>	747
<i>Atlas Express Ltd v Kafco (Importers and Distributors) Ltd</i>	750
<i>Williams v Roffey Bros &amp; Nicholls (Contractors) Ltd</i>	751
<i>Dimskal Shipping Co SA v International Transport Workers</i>	
<i>Federation, The Evia Luck</i>	752
<i>Huyton SA v Peter Cremer GmbH &amp; Co</i>	753
(2) ‘Lawful Act’ Duress	758
<i>CTN Cash and Carry Ltd v Gallaher Ltd</i>	759
<i>R v Attorney General for England and Wales</i>	762
<i>Progress Bulk Carriers Ltd v Tube City IMS LLC</i>	764
Additional Reading	766
<b>15. UNDUE INFLUENCE AND EXPLOITATION OF WEAKNESS</b>	<b>769</b>
1. Undue Influence	769
<i>Allcard v Skinner</i>	770
<i>Barclays Bank plc v O’Brien</i>	773

---

<i>Cheese v Thomas</i>	777
<i>Credit Lyonnais Nederland NV v Burch</i>	778
<i>Royal Bank of Scotland plc v Etridge (No 2)</i>	780
<i>R v Attorney General for England and Wales</i>	797
2. Exploitation of Weakness	800
(1) Exploitation of Mental Weakness	801
<i>Earl of Aylesford v Morris</i>	801
<i>Fry v Lane</i>	803
<i>Cresswell v Potter</i>	804
<i>Boustany v Pigott</i>	806
<i>Portman Building Society v Dusangh</i>	808
(2) Exploitation of the Claimant's Circumstantial Weakness	810
<i>The Medina</i>	810
<i>Alec Lobb Garages Ltd v Total Oil (GB) Ltd</i>	812
(3) Rejection of a Principle of 'Inequality of Bargaining Power'	815
<i>Lloyds Bank Ltd v Bundy</i>	815
<i>National Westminster Bank v Morgan</i>	818
Additional Reading	819
<i>Index</i>	823