

# Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xvii

1	The International Law and Policy of Multi-Sourced Equivalent Norms	
	<i>Tomer Brode and Yuval Shany</i>	1
I	The Puzzle of Normative Parallelism in International Law	1
II	The Rise of MSENS in Fragmented International Law	3
III	Defining and Characterizing Equivalence	5
IV	Same, Same, but Different? Context and the Differences Embedded in MSENS	8
V	Oil or Sand in the Gear Shift? MSENS as the Transmission System of International Law	9
VI	What's on the Menu? Ways of Regulating Interaction between MSENS	13
	A The 'Dominant Norm/Regime' (or <i>Lex Specialis</i> ) Model	13
	B The Cumulative Model	13
	C The Integrative Model	14
VII	Conclusions	14

## PART I MSENS AND THE FRAGMENTATION OF INTERNATIONAL LAW

2	Conflict of Norms or Conflict of Laws? Different Techniques in the Fragmentation of International Law	19
	<i>Ralf Michaels and Joost Pauwelyn</i>	
I	Introduction	19
II	Interactions within Legal Systems: Conflict of Norms	23
	A Solutions in Domestic Law	23
	B Prerequisites	24
III	Interactions between Legal Systems: Conflict of Laws	26
	A Solutions in Domestic Law	26
	B Prerequisites	29

IV	Interactions in Public International Law	31
	A General International Law and Treaties	31
	B Conflicts within One Branch of International Law	33
	C Conflicts between Branches of International Law	35
	D Multi-Sourced Equivalent Norms	39
V	Concluding Thoughts: Is International Law a System?	42
3	The Power of Secondary Rules to Connect the International and National Legal Orders <i>André Nollkaemper</i>	45
	I Introduction	50
	II Situations in which the Application of Secondary Rules of International Law may make a Difference	50
	III Obligations to give effect to Secondary Norms	54
	A International Obligations to give effect to Secondary Norms	54
	B National Obligations to give effect to Secondary Norms	58
	IV The Essential Connection between Primary and Secondary Norms	59
	V Secondary Rules as a Normative Penumbra	61
	VI The Limiting Effect of National Law	64
	VII Conclusion	67
4	Multi-Sourced Equivalent Norms from the Standpoint of Governments <i>Erik Denters and Tarcisio Gazzini</i>	69
	I Introduction	69
	II Governmental <i>versus</i> Judicial Perspective	70
	III Identical MSENS	75
	IV Similar MSENS	80
	V Compliance or Non-Compliance with MSENS	84
	VI State Practice and Converging MSENS	86
	VII Conclusions	88

PART II  
MSENS IN JUDICIAL PRACTICE

5	Interpreting Multi-Sourced Equivalent Norms: Judicial Borrowing in International Courts <i>Benedikt Pirker</i>	93
	I Introduction	93
	II Judicial Borrowing, Multi-Sourced Equivalent Norms and International Courts and Tribunals	94

A	The Playing Field for Judicial Borrowing and Comparative Law in International and Municipal Law	95
B	Comparative Law in a Municipal Context	97
C	Taking it to the Next Level: Judicial Borrowing by International Courts and Tribunals	98
III	The EFTA Court and the <i>L'Oréal Norge</i> Case	99
A	The Origins and Legal Framework of the EEA	99
B	The Case Law before <i>L'Oréal Norge</i> : Interpretive Divergence, but Justified?	101
C	<i>L'Oréal Norge</i> : A Revolutionary 'Presumption of Homogeneity?'	103
D	Evaluation of the Process of Judicial Borrowing in <i>L'Oréal Norge</i> : The Neglected Nature of the EEA	104
IV	The MERCOSUR <i>Retreaded Tyres</i> Dispute	107
A	The Earlier Case Law on Retreaded Tyres	107
B	Laudo No 1/2005: The PRC and the ECJ's Case Law on Balancing Trade and Environmental Interests	109
C	Evaluation of the Process of Judicial Borrowing by the PRC	110
V	Conclusion	112
6	Jurisdictions and Applicable Law Clauses: Where does a Tribunal find the Principal Norms Applicable to the Case before it?	
	<i>Lorand Bartels</i>	115
I	Introduction	115
II	Principal and Incidental Norms	117
III	Principal Norms	120
A	Default Functions of Applicable Law Clauses	120
B	Relationship between Jurisdiction and Applicable Law Clauses	123
i	Claims based on Norms set out only in an Applicable Law Clause	124
a	'Cardinal' Distinction between Jurisdictional and Applicable Law Clauses?	124
b	<i>Lex Specialis</i>	126
c	Failure to apply <i>Lex Specialis</i> Principle	128
ii	Claims based on Norms set out only in a Jurisdiction Clause	130

a	Article 36 prevails over Article 38(1) in Violation of International Law	131
b	Principal Norms cannot be Applied in Violation of International Law	135
IV	Incidental Norms	137
A	Validity or Applicability of a Principal Norm	137
B	Rules of Interpretation	140
C	Legal Facts	140
V	Conclusion	141
7	The OSPAR Convention, the Aarhus Convention and EC Law: Normative and Institutional Fragmentation on the Right of Access to Environmental Information <i>Nikolaos Lavranos</i>	143
I	Introduction	143
II	The Relevant MSEN	144
A	The Factual Background	145
B	Access to Information under the Ospar Convention	148
C	Access to Information under the Aarhus Convention	150
D	Access to Information under EC Law	153
i	EC Directive 90/313 and Directive 2003/4	153
ii	Regulation 1049/2001 and Regulation 1367/2006	156
E	Summary	158
III	Analysis	158
A	The Applicable Law	160
B	The Definition of the term 'Information'	164
IV	Concluding Remarks	166
8	EU Review of UN Anti-Terror Sanctions: Judicial Juggling in a Four-Layer, Multi-Sourced, Equivalent-Norms Scenario <i>Guy Harpaz</i>	171
I	Introduction	171
II	Anti-Terror Sanctions: The Multilateral, Regional and National Contexts	174
III	The MSENs Scenario	176
IV	The CFI Verdict and the ECJ Judgment	179
V	EU Law vis-a-vis International Law/UN Law: The Dominant Regime Model?	183
A	The ECJ's Traditional Approach	183
B	The CFI Verdict	185

C	The ECJ's Judgment	186
D	Analysis	187
VI	EU Law vis-a-vis the ECHR: The Integrative Model?	196
A	The Traditional Approach	196
B	The CFI Verdict and the ECJ Judgment	197
C	Analysis	198
VII	EU Law vis-a-vis the Legal Orders of Member States: The Contesting Model?	202
VIII	Common Unifying Features	203
A	Internalizing the MSENs Conflicts	203
B	One 'Offensive' and Two 'Defensive' Solange Instruments	204
IX	Summary and Conclusions	206

PART III  
MSENS IN SPECIFIC NORMATIVE AND  
INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXTS

9	The Interaction between International Investment Law and Human Rights Treaties: A Sociological Perspective <i>Moshe Hirsch</i>	211
I	Introduction	211
II	Investment Tribunals and Human Rights Treaties	214
III	Socio-Cultural Distance and MSENs	218
IV	The Socio-Cultural Distance between Investment and Human Rights Laws	219
V	Dynamic Aspects and Future Normative Distance	227
VI	Concluding Remarks	228
10	Delineating Primary and Secondary Rules on Necessity at International Law <i>Jurgen Kurtz</i>	231
I	Introduction	231
II	The Legal Standards on Necessity in International Law	233
A	The Plea of 'Necessity' under Customary International Law	233
B	The Treaty Exception: Article XI of the US-Argentine Bilateral Investment Treaty	237
III	Understanding the Relationship between the Customary Plea and Treaty Exception: Engaging Text, Context and History	240
IV	The Cases	247

A	Conflation: <i>CMS, Enron</i> and <i>Sempra</i>	248
B	The Treaty Exception as (Soft or Hard) <i>Lex Specialis</i> ? <i>LG&amp;E v Argentina</i>	251
C	Separating Primary–Secondary Applications: <i>Continental v Argentina</i>	252
V	Conclusion	256
11	Equivalent Primary Rules and Differential Secondary Rules: Countermeasures in WTO and Investment Protection Law <i>Martins Paparinskis</i>	259
I	Introduction	259
II	Countermeasures in WTO and Investment Protection Law	263
III	WTO Countermeasures in Investment Protection Law	270
IV	Investment Protection Law Countermeasures in WTO Law	280
V	Conclusion	287
12	Multi-Sourced Equivalent Norms and the Legitimacy of Indigenous Peoples’ Rights under International Law <i>Claire Charters</i>	289
I	Introduction	289
II	Legitimacy	290
III	MSENs of Indigenous Peoples’ Rights and Legitimacy Deficit	291
A	Process Legitimacy	292
B	Substance Uncertainty	300
C	Incoherence	302
IV	Mitigating MSEN Legitimacy Deficits	303
A	Balancing Process Confusion and Norm Substance Indeterminacy with Justice	304
i	Increased Institutional Responsiveness	304
ii	Increased Norm Justice	307
B	Dialogic Attempts to Minimise Institutional Jurisdictional Competition	308
C	Dialogic Attempts to Interpret Norms Consistently: Lessening Norm Indeterminacy	313
D	The Cohesive Force of Indigenous Peoples’ Participation in International Legal Forums	316
V	Conclusion	319
13	Multi-Sourced Equivalent Norms: Concluding Thoughts <i>Robert Howse</i>	321
	<i>Index</i>	327