

(2009) 1–56. Chapter 6 appears in “The China-styled Closed Panel System in Arbitral Tribunal Formation — Analysis of Chinese Adaptation to Globalization”, 25 (1) *Journal of International Arbitration* (2008) 121–150. Chapter 7 appears in “Judicial Review over Arbitration in China: Assessing the Extent of the Latest Pro-Arbitration Move by the Supreme People’s Court in the People’s Republic of China”, 27 (2) *Wisconsin International Law Journal* (2009) 221–269. The kind permission for the inclusion in this book of updated and revised versions of these chapters is acknowledged.

Finally, I must record my deepest gratitude to my family — my parents, my husband, and my little boy, Victor — whose lasting love and unswerving support during the many hours consumed by this project, have, in fact, made the writing of this book possible. This book is dedicated to them.

Gu Weixia
Hong Kong
December 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	v
<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xvii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xxv

CHAPTER 1 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK OF ARBITRATION IN CHINA

1. Arbitration and Legislative Development Since 1978	1.002
2. Source of Regulations on Arbitration	1.005
(a) Arbitration Law 1994	1.007
(b) State Council notices	1.009
(c) Judicial interpretations	1.011
(d) Arbitration commission rules	1.014
(e) International agreements	1.017
3. China Arbitration Association	1.019
4. Relationship Between Arbitration and the Courts	1.021

CHAPTER 2 SALIENT FEATURES OF ARBITRATION IN CHINA

1. System of Institutional Arbitration	2.002
(a) Institutional adherence in Chinese arbitration	2.002
(b) Denial of <i>ad hoc</i> and foreign arbitration	2.007
(c) Impact upon arbitral practice	2.011
2. System of Dual-track Arbitration	2.014
(a) Definition of “domestic” and “foreign-related” arbitration	2.014
(b) Bifurcations between the two tracks	2.018
(c) Impact upon arbitral practice	2.026
3. System of Harmonious Arbitration	2.033
(a) Heritage from Chinese legal culture	2.033
(b) Emphasis on conciliation in arbitration proceedings	2.038
(c) Impact upon arbitral practice	2.046
4. Conclusion	2.050

CHAPTER 3 REGULATION OF ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS AND PRACTICAL ISSUES

1. Importance of the Regulation of Arbitration Agreements in China	3.002
(a) Party and tribunal autonomy	3.002
(b) Delicate relationship among key arbitration players	3.005

2. Constrained Party and Tribunal Autonomy in Chinese Arbitral Practice	3.009
(a) Inherent deficiency of the Arbitration Law	3.009
(b) Inconsistent ancillary rules	3.013
(c) Pervasive state control over arbitration	3.017
3. Practical Issues Pertaining to or Affecting Arbitration Agreements in China	3.021
(a) Arbitral jurisdiction and tribunal formation	3.023
(b) Judicial intervention and enforcement	3.027

CHAPTER 4 VALIDITY OF ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS

1. Critique on the Validity Regime Under the Arbitration Law	4.003
(a) Overly rigid conditions	4.003
(b) Significant gap with international arbitration norms	4.008
2. Judicial Effort on Relaxing the Legislative Rigidity	4.016
(a) The first decade: 1995 to 2005	4.017
(b) Critical turning since 2006: Unified Supreme People's Court interpretation on arbitration	4.040
3. <i>Ad hoc</i> and ICC Arbitration Agreements in China	4.063
(a) Problems of state control	4.064
(b) Formal mediation, actual arbitration	4.070
(c) Proposal for legislative recognition and its political challenges	4.076

CHAPTER 5 ARBITRAL JURISDICTION

1. Denying Tribunal's Jurisdictional Competence	5.005
(a) Doctrine of <i>competence-competence</i>	5.004
(b) Regulation of the Arbitration Law and its serious defects	5.009
(c) Significant gaps with international arbitration norms	5.013
2. Tribunal's Competence in the Shadow of Arbitration Commission	5.019
(a) Historic prevalence of the "institution" in China	5.020
(b) Problems of the arbitration commission infrastructure	5.029
(c) Political and administrative interventions to arbitral autonomy	5.038
3. CIETAC's Struggling Development	5.041
(a) "Commission-dominated" to "commission-tribunal-shared"	5.042
(b) Tribunal's competence recognised by commission rules	5.049
(c) Ring the bell of party and tribunal autonomy	5.053
(d) Reform suggestions and administrative challenges	5.057

CHAPTER 6 PANEL ARBITRATOR SYSTEM AND TRIBUNAL FORMATION

1. Panel Arbitrator System	6.002
(a) Legislative deficiency under the Arbitration Law	6.003
(b) Distinctive features of the commission panel	6.019

(c) Gap with international arbitration norms and practices	6.036
(d) Conclusion: State control vs party autonomy	6.051
2. Hidden Obstacles to Tribunal Formation	6.052
(a) Perils of institutional bias: Staff panel	6.054
(b) Perils of government bias: Official panel	6.058
(c) Perils of localisation bias: Localised panel	6.061
(d) Conclusion: Various controls in interplay on party and tribunal autonomy	6.063
3. Remedial Measures by CIETAC	6.068
(a) General reform in respecting parties' procedural autonomy	6.069
(b) Specific reform for widening parties' choices in tribunal formation	6.070
(c) Inherent defects under the institutional framework	6.073
(d) Proposals for change	6.076

CHAPTER 7 JUDICIAL INTERVENTION AND ENFORCEMENT

1. Concerns About Excessive Judicial Intervention	7.002
(a) Prorogued judicial review under the Arbitration Law and Supreme People's Court interpretations	7.002
(b) Supreme People's Court initiatives to limit judicial intervention: Alignment with international arbitration norms	7.025
(c) Unresolved judicial intervention: Dual-track concerns	7.041
2. Enforcement Analysis and Practical Application of the Supreme People's Court Initiatives	7.045
(a) Enforcement of "non-signatory third party"	7.048
(b) Enforcement of "ambiguous arbitration commission"	7.065
(c) Analysis of enforcement divergences and difficulties	7.081
3. Judicial Reforms and their Restrictions	7.097
(a) Supreme People's Court efforts in enhancing the infrastructure of the judiciary	7.098
(b) Local judicial efforts in merging the dual tracks	7.102
(c) Restrictions under state control	7.104

CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSION AND DIRECTION FOR FUTURE REFORM

1. Practical Constraints to Chinese Arbitration	8.002
(a) Political and administrative interventions	8.003
2. Prospective Reforms for Chinese Arbitration	8.008
(a) Arbitration Law revision	8.009
(b) Restructuring of arbitration commissions	8.015
(c) Emphasis on China Arbitration Association in arbitrator regulation	8.019
(d) Empowering people's courts	8.022
(e) Hong Kong's experience	8.025
3. Concluding Remarks	8.030

APPENDICES

Appendix A Arbitration Law of the People's Republic of China	211
Appendix B Civil Procedure Law of the People's Republic of China	225
Appendix C China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission Arbitration Rules	281
Appendix D Beijing Arbitration Commission Arbitration Rules	303
Appendix E Interpretation of the Supreme People's Court on Several Issues Concerning Application of the Arbitration Law of the People's Republic of China	323
Appendix F Arrangement Between the Mainland and Hong Kong Special Administrative Region on Reciprocal Recognition and Enforcement of Arbitral Awards	329
<i>Bibliography</i>	333
<i>Index</i>	353

TABLE OF CASES

ABB Lummums Global Ltd v Keppel Fels Ltd [1999] 2 Lloyd's Rep 24	4.014
Astel-Peiniger Joint Venture v Argos Engineering & Heavy Industries Co Ltd [1998] 1 HKLR 300	4.009
Automatic System Inc v ES Fox Ltd and Chrysler Canada Ltd, CLOUT case 74 (Ontario Court of Appeal, 25 April 1994)	4.010
Baotou Building Co v Baotou Commercial Co	7.058-7.062, 7.064, 7.082, 7.084, 7.085, 7.089, 7.091
Carter v Alsthom (Court of Appeal, Vienna) [2001] International Arbitration Law Review, 123	4.010
China Xiamen Xiangyu Corp v Swiss Mechel Trading AG Co	7.074, 7.078-7.080, 7.085, 7.091, 7.100
Chung Siu Hung v Primequine Corp, CLOUT case 44 (High Court, Hong Kong, 28 September 1999)	4.010
Concordia Agritrade Pte Ltd v Cornelder Hoogewerff Pte Ltd [2001] International Arbitration Law Review, N42-3 (High Court, Singapore, 13 October 1999)	4.010
Contract for the Sale of Cold-Rolled Steel Plate, Re	4.006
Dubai Islamic Bank PJSC v Paymentech Merchant Services Inc [2001] 1 All ER (Comm) 514	4.014
Epsilon Rosa (No 2), The [2003] 2 Lloyd's Rep 509	4.009
Fu Jian Produce Co v Jin Hu Shipping Co	4.020
Hanseatisches Oberlandesgericht Hamburg [OLGZ] (Trial Court for Select Civil Matters) (24 January 2003)	4.013
Hong Kong Longhai Co v Wuhan Donghu Import and Export Co	7.049, 7.051, 7.055, 7.064, 7.089-7.090
Hongji Real Estate Development Co Ltd v Communication Bank (Chongqing)	7.010
Joanna V, The [2003] 2 Lloyd's Rep 617	7.019
Lapad, The [2004] 2 Lloyd's Rep 109	6.044
Lucky-Goldstar International (HK) Ltd v Ng Moo Kee Engineering Ltd [1993] 2 HKLR 73	4.013, 7.023, 8.029
Mitsubishi Co Ltd (HK) v Sanxia Investment Co Ltd and Hubei Mechanical Engineering Co Ltd (Zui Gao Fa Fa Jing Han [1999] No. 143)	7.039
Nanghai West Shipping Co v Hong Kong United Dockyards Ltd [1996] 2 HKC 639	5.005
Netsys Technology Group AB v Open Text Corp, CLOUT case 3134 (Ontario Supreme Court, 29 July 1999)	7.016
People's Insurance Co of China, Guangzhou v Guanghope Power [Min Si Zhong Zi] No. 29 of 2003, Civil Division, Supreme People's Court, China	2.008, 4.064