

*Corp* (2010) and *Payam Tamiz v Google Inc* (2012) in the United Kingdom, point to a relaxation of defamation law and increased protection for freedom of speech, even if partly out of a sense of resignation: given that as a practical matter not much can be done about the proliferation of defamatory electronic communications anyway, it might be easiest just to ignore them.

Also on the subject of defamation and the advancement of freedom of speech, the public interest defence, which is now fully accepted in Hong Kong—see *Yaqoob v Asia Times Online Ltd* (2008)—was subsequently extended by the Privy Council in *Seaga v Harper* (2009) and the Hong Kong Court of Appeal in *Blakeney-Williams v Cathay Pacific Airways Ltd* (2011) to include publications not only by media organizations but by anyone who publishes material of public interest in any medium, so long as the conditions framed by Lord Nicholls in *Reynolds v Times Newspapers Ltd* (2001) as being applicable to “responsible journalism” are satisfied. The requirements for the defence of “honest comment” (formerly fair comment) were relaxed by the Supreme Court in the decision of *Joseph v Spiller* (2011). Lord Phillips effectively rewrote the fourth proposition in *Cheng v Tse Wai Chun* (2000), such that it is no longer necessary that the facts on which the comment is based be so particularized as to allow readers or listeners to evaluate the comments for themselves. The focus is now squarely on the honesty, rather than on the fairness, of the comment.

Finally, it is widely agreed that large damages awards in libel cases tend to inhibit free speech. Only weeks before the publication of this book, the Court of Final Appeal in two decisions rendered on the same day—*Blakeney-Williams v Cathay Pacific Airways Ltd* and *Oriental Daily Publisher Ltd v Ming Pao Holdings Ltd*—confirmed the Court of Appeal’s decisions to greatly reduce the libel damages awarded at trial, and in doing so clarified and to some degree revised the principles for the award of both general and aggravated damages, ensuring that such awards are likely to be much more modest in future.

All of these and other important cases are documented and critiqued in this edition, together with a considerable body of what is not necessarily ground-breaking law but which offers new insights into established principles, in many cases placing them in entirely new factual contexts. For instance, only in Hong Kong could a court decide that the burning of incense on a daily basis in the 8th floor corridor of a residential building might not be nuisance (see *Hu Wei Hsin v Ma Hung Wing* (2011)).

Other changes from the previous edition include a new section on animal liability, an expanded treatment of professional negligence, and an expanded treatment of limitations law, which has been radically altered by decisions here and in the United Kingdom. Sections are also re-arranged, particularly in chapters 3 and 4, to better convey a sense of the subject matter and the linkages with other parts. All chapters have undergone substantial revision in light of case law developments. More than 300 new cases are cited and discussed in this edition.

This edition benefitted from a larger-than-usual student input. I wish to thank Lydia Leung, Jerome Ching, Carrie Lam, Alvin Chan, Joey Tam, Marco Chan, Ivy Ho, Wingy Ha, Sue Chen, Isabella Wong, Karen Cheng, and Amy Wong for their valuable research assistance. Even the cover photograph—a playful re-creation of the iconic imagery from *Donoghue v Stevenson*—was taken by a tort law student, Tiffany Tam Ching. It is my hope that the student voice is heard in this edition.

Thanks finally to Abdul Azeem Ali and the team at Sweet & Maxwell for their perseverance and hard work.

The law is stated as at 30 September 2012.

Rick Glofcheski  
University of Hong Kong  
September 2012

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface .....	vii
Table of Cases .....	xix
Table of Legislation .....	lxiii
Table of References .....	lxix

### CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 What is Tort Law? .....	2
1.2 Sources of Tort Law in Hong Kong .....	4
1.3 Parties to a Tort Action—Who can Sue and be Sued? .....	4
1.4 Functions of Tort Law .....	5
1.4.1 Compensation .....	5
1.4.2 Deterrence .....	7
1.4.3 Loss distribution .....	8
1.4.4 Economic efficiency .....	8
1.4.5 Lesser functions .....	8
1.4.6 Fault principle .....	9
1.4.7 Role and influence of liability insurance .....	10
1.5 Perspectives on Tort Law .....	11
1.6 Tort Law in Hong Kong .....	13

### CHAPTER 2 NEGLIGENCE: INTRODUCTION

2.1 History .....	16
2.2 An Overview .....	17

### CHAPTER 3 BREACH OF DUTY

3.1 The Standard of the Reasonable Person .....	23
3.2 Special Categories .....	32
3.2.1 Children .....	32
3.2.2 The physically infirm .....	35
3.2.3 The mentally incompetent .....	35
3.2.4 Sports .....	36
3.2.5 Emergency .....	38
3.2.6 Persons with special skills .....	39
3.3 Determination and Application of the Reasonable Person Standard .....	55
3.3.1 General principles for determining the standard of the reasonable person .....	56
3.3.2 Common practice .....	67

3.3.3 Statutory standards .....	73
3.3.4 Economic inefficiency as negligence .....	73
3.4 Aids in Proving Breach of Duty .....	76
3.4.1 <i>Res ipsa loquitur</i> .....	76
3.4.2 The rule in <i>Baker v Market Harborough</i> .....	86
3.4.3 Section 62 of the Evidence Ordinance (Cap.8) .....	87

## CHAPTER 4 CAUSATION AND REMOTENESS OF DAMAGE

4.1 The "But For" Test .....	95
4.2 Effective Cause .....	99
4.2.1 <i>Novus actus interveniens</i> .....	102
4.3 Problem Fact Patterns in Proving Causation .....	120
4.3.1 Loss of a chance .....	120
4.3.2 Material contribution to injury .....	125
4.3.3 Material increase of the risk of injury .....	125
4.3.4 Multiple possible (independent) causes .....	136
4.3.5 Successive (independent) causes .....	141
4.4 Other Issues in Proving Causation .....	147
4.4.1 Burden of proof .....	147
4.4.2 Legal versus clinical causation .....	150
4.4.3 Omission as cause .....	150
4.4.4 Informed consent to material risks of medical treatment .....	151
4.5 Remoteness of Damage .....	152
4.5.1 Foreseeability of the kind of damage .....	153
4.5.2 Manner of occurrence of damage .....	156
4.5.3 The "thin skull" rule .....	161

## CHAPTER 5 DUTY OF CARE

5.1 General Principles in the Determination of Duty of Care .....	171
5.1.1 The neighbour principle .....	171
5.1.2 Proximity .....	178
5.1.3 Justice and reasonableness .....	185
5.1.4 The role of policy in duty of care .....	191
5.1.5 Incremental and by analogy .....	199
5.2 Duty and its Scope: Duty in Relation to Categories of Harm .....	202
5.2.1 Physical harm .....	202
5.2.2 Duty of care and psychiatric injury (nervous shock) .....	206
5.2.3 Pure economic loss .....	220
5.3 Recurrent Issues in Duty of Care .....	251
5.3.1 Omissions (nonfeasance) .....	251
5.3.2 Third party under supervision .....	255
5.3.3 The special case of public authorities .....	256

5.3.4 Duty of care and rescuers .....	268
5.3.5 Duty of care and the unborn .....	273
5.3.6 Animals .....	274
5.3.7 Duty of care in a contractual context .....	279

## CHAPTER 6 DEFENCES TO NEGLIGENCE

6.1 Contributory Negligence .....	288
6.1.1 Standard of care .....	289
6.1.2 Causation .....	301
6.1.3 Apportionment .....	304
6.2 <i>Volenti Non Fit Injuria</i> .....	312
6.2.1 Knowledge of risks .....	312
6.2.2 Agreement to accept risks .....	313
6.2.3 Voluntary conduct .....	314
6.2.4 Express terms .....	315
6.2.5 The special case of motor vehicles .....	319
6.3 <i>Ex Turpi Causa Non Oritur Actio</i> .....	323
6.3.1 Suicide .....	324
6.3.2 Close connection .....	329
6.3.3 Gravity of criminal offence .....	330

## CHAPTER 7 OCCUPIERS' LIABILITY

7.1 Negligence or Occupiers' Liability? .....	343
7.2 Occupiers Liability Ordinance .....	345
7.3 Relationship of the Ordinance with Previous Common Law of Occupiers' Liability .....	349
7.4 Who is an Occupier? .....	349
7.4.1 Occupiers on construction sites .....	355
7.5 Who is a Visitor? .....	356
7.5.1 Implied permission .....	356
7.6 The Meaning of Premises .....	362
7.7 The Nature and Extent of the Common Duty of Care .....	363
7.7.1 Child visitors: section 3(3)(a) .....	367
7.7.2 Visitor exercising a calling: section 3(3)(b) .....	368
7.7.3 Warnings can discharge duty: section 3(4)(a) .....	369
7.7.4 Appointment of independent contractor can discharge duty: section 3(4)(b) .....	372
7.8 Causation .....	374
7.9 Defences .....	374
7.9.1 Exclusion of liability .....	377
7.10 Trespassers .....	379

## CHAPTER 8 BREACH OF STATUTORY DUTY

8.1 Why a Separate Tort Action for Breach of Statutory Duty? .....	393
8.2 Is there any Advantage in Bringing the Action in Breach of Statutory Duty as Opposed to Negligence? .....	393
8.3 Breach of Statutory Duty Today .....	394
8.4 Availability of the Action: <i>Intention of the Legislature</i> .....	395
8.4.1 Read the ordinance as a whole, including background circumstances .....	396
8.4.2 Benefit of a class .....	399
8.4.3 Remedy (penalty) provided by ordinance .....	409
8.4.4 Existing common law remedy .....	412
8.4.5 Subsidiary legislation .....	413
8.4.6 Industrial safety legislation .....	416
8.5 Ambit of Ordinance .....	418
8.5.1 Comes within class of persons protected .....	419
8.5.2 Comes within terms and purpose of legislation .....	419
8.5.3 Breach .....	424
8.6 Causation .....	427
8.7 Defences .....	428
8.8 Statutory Standard as Evidence of Negligence .....	432

## CHAPTER 9 EMPLOYER'S LIABILITY IN NEGLIGENCE

9.1 Duty of Care .....	438
9.1.1 Identifying the employer .....	438
9.2 The Four-Fold Duty of Care .....	441
9.3 Breach of Duty .....	443
9.3.1 Competent staff .....	445
9.3.2 Safe place of work .....	446
9.3.3 Proper plant and equipment .....	447
9.3.4 Safe system of work .....	448
9.3.5 Deliberate harm caused by co-workers or third parties .....	451
9.3.6 Psychiatric injury .....	453
9.3.7 Employee working off-site .....	454
9.4 Causation and Remoteness .....	456
9.5 Defences .....	456
9.5.1 <i>Volenti non fit injuria</i> .....	457
9.5.2 Contributory negligence .....	459
9.6 Vicarious Liability as a Cause of Action .....	462
9.7 Other Causes of Action .....	462

## CHAPTER 10 DAMAGES

10.1 Personal Injury Damages .....	466
10.1.1 Full compensation .....	466
10.1.2 Lump sum .....	467
10.2 Financial Loss .....	467
10.2.1 Pre-trial financial (special damages) .....	467
10.2.2 Post-trial financial (general damages) .....	469
10.3 Non-Financial Losses (General Damages) .....	478
10.3.1 Pain, suffering, loss of amenities .....	478
10.4 Provisional Awards .....	486
10.5 Case Example .....	487
10.6 Damages for Death .....	492
10.6.1 Fatal Accidents Ordinance .....	492
10.6.2 Survival of actions for the benefit of the estate—Law Amendment and Reform (Consolidation) Ordinance .....	499
10.7 Damage to Property .....	510

## CHAPTER 11 VICARIOUS LIABILITY

11.1 Employer/Employee .....	514
11.1.1 Conditions for vicarious liability of employer for torts of employee .....	515
11.1.2 Vicarious liability of the government .....	537
11.2 Motor Vehicle Owner/Driver .....	540
11.3 Principal/Agent .....	545
11.4 Employer/Independent Contractor .....	551

## CHAPTER 12 MULTIPLE TORTFEASORS

12.1 Plaintiff's Rights Against Multiple Tortfeasors .....	558
12.2 Tortfeasors' Rights to Contribution .....	558

## CHAPTER 13 LIMITATION OF ACTION

13.1 Commencement of Limitation Period: Accrual of Cause of Action .....	570
13.2 Property Damage, Economic Loss, Defamation and Trespass .....	570
13.3 Negligence Actions for Personal Injury Damages .....	571
13.3.1 Latent injury .....	573
13.3.2 Negligence actions where the victim has died .....	575
13.3.3 Court's power to override time limits for actions for personal injury and death .....	576
13.4 Latent Property Damage and Economic Loss .....	579
13.5 Time Limit for Claiming Contribution .....	582

13.6 Other Postponement Provisions .....	582
13.6.1 Disability .....	582
13.6.2 Fraud, concealment and mistake .....	583
13.7 Other Limitation Provisions .....	584
13.8 The Strategic Relevance of Limitation Periods .....	584

## CHAPTER 14 STATUTORY COMPENSATION FOR WORK-RELATED INJURY AND DISEASE

14.1 Employees' Compensation .....	590
14.2 Criteria for Entitlement to Compensation .....	592
14.2.1 Contract of service .....	592
14.2.2 Arising out of and in the course of employment .....	598
14.2.3 "Injury by accident" .....	612
14.2.4 Occupational diseases under Cap.282 .....	615
14.2.5 Applicant's "fault" .....	615
14.2.6 Notice and time limit .....	618
14.2.7 Calculation of compensation .....	618
14.3 Pneumoconiosis and Mesothelioma (Compensation) Ordinance .....	621
14.4 Occupational Deafness (Compensation) Ordinance .....	622

## CHAPTER 15 TRESPASS TO PERSON

15.1 Historical .....	624
15.2 Battery .....	627
15.3 Assault .....	636
15.4 False Imprisonment .....	645

## CHAPTER 16 DEFENCES TO TRESPASS TO THE PERSON

16.1 Consent .....	654
16.1.1 Consent to medical treatment .....	656
16.2 Self-Defence .....	663
16.3 Defence of Others .....	665
16.4 Defence of Property .....	665
16.5 Parental and Other Authority .....	665
16.6 Necessity .....	666
16.7 Legal Authority .....	667
16.7.1 Arrest with warrant .....	668
16.7.2 Arrest without warrant .....	668
16.7.3 Reasons for arrest .....	673
16.7.4 Use of reasonable force .....	677

16.8 Other Miscellaneous Defences and Pleas .....	684
16.8.1 <i>Ex Turpi Causa Non Oritur Actio</i> .....	684
16.8.2 Provocation .....	685
16.8.3 Contributory negligence .....	686

## CHAPTER 17 REMEDIES FOR TRESPASSORY TORTS

17.1 Damages .....	688
17.1.1 The test for remoteness of damage .....	688
17.1.2 Damages claimable .....	690
17.2 Injunction .....	701
17.3 Hong Kong Criminal Injuries Compensation Scheme .....	701
17.4 Compensation Through the Criminal Law .....	702

## CHAPTER 18 INDIRECT INTERFERENCE WITH THE PERSON

18.1 Malicious Prosecution .....	706
18.2 The Innominate Tort Action .....	712

## CHAPTER 19 NUISANCE AND RELATED REMEDIES

19.1 Nuisance Distinguished from Negligence .....	722
19.2 Basis for Liability—What Constitutes a Nuisance? .....	723
19.2.1 Physical damage .....	724
19.2.2 Intangible interference .....	726
19.3 Who can Sue? .....	741
19.4 Who is Liable for the Nuisance? .....	748
19.5 Causation and Remoteness .....	751
19.6 Defences .....	753
19.6.1 Statutory authority .....	753
19.6.2 Planning permission .....	756
19.6.3 Act of stranger, act of God .....	757
19.6.4 Contributory negligence .....	757
19.6.5 "Coming to the nuisance" as a defence? .....	758
19.7 Remedies .....	759
19.7.1 Damages .....	759
19.7.2 Injunction .....	762
19.7.3 Abatement .....	764
19.8 Deeds of Mutual Covenant .....	766
19.9 Public Nuisance .....	768
19.10 Statutory Nuisance .....	773

## CHAPTER 20 TRESPASS TO LAND

20.1 Land .....	776
20.2 Airspace .....	777
20.2.1 Transient invasion of airspace .....	777
20.2.2 Invasion of airspace from structure .....	780
20.3 Subspace.....	782
20.4 Defences.....	783
20.4.1 Consent .....	783
20.4.2 Legal authority .....	784
20.4.3 Necessity.....	784
20.4.4 Abatement.....	785
20.4.5 Third party's superior right ( <i>jus tertii</i> ).....	785
20.5 Remedies.....	785
20.5.1 Damages .....	785
20.5.2 Injunction.....	789
20.5.3 Self-help .....	791

## CHAPTER 21 RYLANDS v FLETCHER

21.1 The Action in <i>Rylands v Fletcher</i> .....	794
21.2 Limits on the Availability of the Action.....	797
21.2.1 Something likely to do mischief.....	797
21.2.2 Non-natural use .....	797
21.2.3 Escape .....	803
21.2.4 Who can sue and be sued?.....	804
21.3 Causation and Remoteness of Damage.....	804
21.4 Defences.....	807
21.4.1 Damages .....	808

## CHAPTER 22 DEFAMATION

22.1 Malicious Falsehood .....	814
22.2 Libel and Slander .....	816
22.2.1 The significance of the distinction.....	817
22.3 Who can Sue and be Sued?.....	818
22.3.1 Who can sue?.....	818
22.3.2 Who can be sued?.....	820
22.4 Elements of the Tort Action .....	820
22.4.1 Defamatory meaning .....	820
22.4.2 Reference to the plaintiff .....	833
22.4.3 Publication .....	837

22.5 Defences.....	847
22.5.1 Truth .....	848
22.5.2 Absolute privilege .....	850
22.5.3 Qualified privilege .....	854
22.5.4 Honest comment.....	875
22.5.5 Statutory defences .....	882
22.6 Remedies.....	884
22.6.1 Damages .....	884
22.6.2 Injunction.....	892
22.6.3 Orders for disclosure .....	893
22.7 Some Jurisdictional Issues .....	895
22.8 The Future of Defamation Law.....	898
Index .....	901