

Table of Contents

Preface	xvii
List of Abbreviations	xix
List of Figures	xxiii
Acknowledgements	xxv
CHAPTER 1	
Introduction	1
§1.01 Scope of Research	2
[A] Research Question	2
[B] Principal Sources of Law	5
[1] New York Convention	6
[2] National Arbitration Laws	7
[a] UNCITRAL Model Law	7
[b] German Code of Civil Procedure	8
[c] French Code of Civil Procedure	8
[d] Swiss Private International Law Act	9
[e] English Arbitration Act	10
§1.02 Parallel Proceedings: A Common Phenomenon	10
[A] General Motivations behind Commencing Parallel Proceedings	11
[1] Tactics	11
[2] Forum Shopping	12
[B] Specific Interests Involved in Parallel Proceedings before National Courts and Arbitral Tribunals	13
[1] Parties' Interests	14

Table of Contents

	[2] Judiciary's and Arbitration Community's Concerns	14
	[C] Conclusion	15
§1.03	Definition of Parallel Proceedings	16
	[A] Definition in the International Law Association's Recommendations	16
	[B] Definition in the Brussels Regulation and Lugano Convention	17
	[1] Article 27 of the Brussels Regulation and the Lugano Convention	18
	[a] Identical Cause of Action: The ECJ's 'Kernpunkttheorie'	18
	[b] Identity of the Parties: The ECJ's Test of Identity and Indissociability of Interests	20
	[2] Article 28 of the Brussels Regulation and the Lugano Convention	21
	[3] Interim Conclusion	22
	[C] Temporal Definition of Parallel Proceedings	22
	[D] Conclusion	23
CHAPTER 2		
	Competence-Competence	25
§2.01	Internationally Accepted Principle in International Arbitration	26
	[A] International Arbitration Conventions	28
	[1] New York Convention	28
	[2] European Convention	28
	[B] National Arbitration Laws	29
	[1] UNCITRAL Model Law/German Code of Civil Procedure	29
	[2] French Code of Civil Procedure	30
	[3] Swiss Private International Law Act	32
	[4] English Arbitration Act	32
	[C] Institutional Arbitration Rules	33
	[1] UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules	33
	[2] ICC Rules 2012	33
	[3] Swiss Rules 2012	35
	[4] LCIA Rules	35
§2.02	Diverging Implementation of Competence-Competence under National Arbitration Laws	36
	[A] UNCITRAL Model Law/German Code of Civil Procedure	36
	[B] French Code of Civil Procedure	37
	[1] Negative Effect Doctrine in French Statutory Arbitration Law	38
	[2] Negative Effect Doctrine in French Case Law	39

Table of Contents

[C]	Swiss Private International Law Act	40
[1]	Partial Adoption of the Negative Effect of Competence-Competence in Swiss Case Law	40
[2]	Proposal to Introduce the Negative Effect of Competence-Competence into Swiss Law?	43
[D]	English Arbitration Act	46
[1]	Principle of Competence-Competence in English Statutory Arbitration Law	46
[2]	Principle of Competence-Competence in Recent English Case Law	48
[E]	Comparative Conclusion	50
[1]	Policy Considerations behind the Negative Effect of Competence-Competence	51
[2]	Negative Effect of Competence-Competence: A Blessing or a Curse?	53
[3]	Future Role of the Negative Effect of Competence-Competence	55
§2.03	Competence-Competence and the Brussels and Lugano Regime	56
[A]	Arbitration Exception	56
[1]	ECJ's Case Law with a Connection to Arbitration	58
[a]	Ancillary Proceedings: The <i>Marc Rich</i> Case	58
[i]	Facts of the Case	58
[ii]	Reasoning and Comments to the Case	58
[b]	Provisional Measures: The <i>Van Uden</i> Case	60
[i]	Facts of the Case	60
[ii]	Reasoning and Comments to the Case	60
[c]	Parallel Proceedings: The <i>West Tankers</i> Case	61
[i]	Facts of the Case	61
[ii]	Reasoning and Comments to the Case	62
[2]	Interim Conclusion	64
[B]	Recast Brussels Regulation	66
[1]	Historic Development of the Recast Brussels Regulation	66
[2]	Recital 12 Recast Brussels Regulation	67
[3]	Impact on the Lugano Convention	69
§2.04	Summary	70
CHAPTER 3		
Jurisdictional Pleas and Actions with Parallel Proceedings before an Arbitral Tribunal and a National Court		
§3.01	Pleas and Actions to Be Invoked before National Courts	71
[A]	Exceptio Arbitri	72
[1]	Arbitration Defence in the New York Convention	73
[a]	Basic Requirements	73

Table of Contents

	[b] Interpretation of the Validity of the Arbitration Agreement	74
	[c] Effect of a Valid Arbitration Agreement	76
	[d] Weaknesses of the Arbitration Defence under the New York Convention	77
[2]	Arbitration Defence under National Arbitration Laws	80
	[a] Procedural Requirements as to the Time by Which the Plea Should Be Raised	82
	[b] Interpretation of the Invalidity of the Arbitration Agreement	84
	[i] Law Governing the Interpretation of the Arbitration Agreement	85
	[ii] Invalidity of the Arbitration Agreement	87
	[c] Effects of the National Court's Decision regarding the Arbitration Defence	91
	[i] Is the Brussels Regulation/Lugano Convention Applicable to Court Decisions on the Arbitration Defence?	92
	[ii] National Court's Referral to Arbitration	93
	[iii] National Court's Refusal to Refer the Parties to Arbitration	95
[3]	Comparative Conclusion	102
	[a] Conclusions from the Perspective of National Courts	103
	[b] Conclusions from the Arbitrators' View	104
	[c] Conclusions from the Parties' View	106
[B]	Exceptio Litis Pendens	107
	[1] General Introduction	108
	[a] Origins of the Principle of Lis Pendens in Civil Law Jurisdictions	108
	[b] Common Law Doctrine of Forum Non Conveniens	109
	[c] Lis Pendens Rule in International Arbitration Law	110
	[i] Article II(3) New York Convention	110
	[ii] Article VI(3) European Convention	111
	[iii] Article 8 UNCITRAL Model Law	112
	[iv] Brussels and Lugano Regime	112
[2]	Effectiveness of the Plea of Litispendence before National Courts	113
	[a] German, French and English Law Perspectives	113
	[b] Swiss Law Perspective	115
	[i] With Previous Domestic Arbitral Proceedings	115
	[ii] With Previous Foreign Arbitral Proceedings	116
[3]	Comparative Conclusion	117
	[a] Conclusions from the Perspective of National Courts	118
	[b] Conclusions from the Parties' View	118

Table of Contents

[C]	Action for Injunctive Relief	119
[1]	Injunctions Restraining Parallel Court Proceedings (Anti-suit Injunctions)	120
[a]	Admissibility of Anti-suit Injunctions	120
[b]	Doctrinal Considerations behind the (In)Admissibility of Anti-suit Injunctions	125
[i]	Comity and the Protection of the Foreign State's Sovereignty	126
[ii]	(In)Compatibility with International Law	127
[iii]	Principle of Competence-Competence	128
[iv]	Practicality	128
[c]	Effects of Decisions on Applications for Injunctive Relief	128
[i]	Is the Brussels Regulation/Lugano Convention Applicable to Anti-suit Injunctions in Support of Arbitration?	129
[ii]	Enforcement of Anti-suit Injunctions in Support of Arbitration	130
[2]	Injunctions Restraining Parallel Arbitration Proceedings (Anti-arbitration Injunctions)	131
[a]	Admissibility of Anti-arbitration Injunctions	131
[b]	Doctrinal Considerations behind the (In)Admissibility of Anti-arbitration Injunctions	134
[i]	Sovereignty and Denial of Justice	134
[ii]	Compatibility with International Law	134
[iii]	Principle of Competence-Competence	135
[iv]	Practicality	135
[c]	Effects of Decisions on Applications for Injunctive Relief	136
[i]	Is the Brussels Regulation/Lugano Convention Applicable to Anti-arbitration Injunctions?	136
[ii]	Enforcement of Anti-arbitration Injunctions	136
[3]	Comparative Conclusion	137
[a]	Conclusions from the Perspective of National Courts	137
[b]	Conclusions from the Arbitrators' View	138
[c]	Conclusions from the Parties' View	141
[i]	Enforcement Considerations	142
[ii]	Alternatives to Anti-suit/Anti-arbitration Injunctions	143
[D]	Action for Declaratory Relief	146
[1]	Admissibility of Declaratory Relief	147
[a]	Declaratory Relief under German Arbitration Law	147
[b]	Declaratory Relief under English Arbitration Law	149

Table of Contents

[2]	Considerations behind the (In)Admissibility of Declaratory Relief	151
[a]	National Legislators' Policy Considerations	152
[b]	Necessity of Declaratory Relief	154
[c]	Interim Conclusion	155
[3]	Effects of the Declaratory Judgment on the Arbitration Agreement's (In)Validity	156
[a]	Is the Brussels Regulation/Lugano Convention Applicable to Court Decisions on Declaratory Relief?	156
[b]	Court's Declaration on the Arbitration Agreement's Validity	156
[i]	Possibility of Appealing against a Positive Declaratory Judgment	156
[ii]	Res Judicata Effect of a Positive Declaratory Judgment	157
[c]	Court's Declaration on the Arbitration Agreement's Invalidity	157
[i]	Possibility of Appealing against a Negative Declaratory Judgment	158
[ii]	Res Judicata Effect of a Negative Declaratory Judgment	158
[4]	Comparative Conclusion	158
[a]	Conclusions from the Perspective of National Courts	158
[b]	Conclusions from the Arbitrators' View	159
[c]	Conclusions from the Parties' View	159
[E]	Exceptio Rei Judicatae	162
[1]	General Introduction	163
[a]	The Civil Law Notion of the Principle of Res Judicata	163
[b]	Common Law Concept of Res Judicata	164
[i]	Cause of Action Estoppel	164
[ii]	Issue Estoppel	165
[iii]	Former Recovery	165
[iv]	Abuse of Process	166
[2]	Effectiveness of the Exceptio Rei Judicatae	167
[a]	Law Applicable to Determine Arbitral Awards' Preclusive Effect	168
[b]	Arbitral Awards' Preclusive Effect under National Arbitration Laws	168
[i]	With a Previous Domestic Arbitral Award	173
[ii]	With a Previous Foreign Arbitral Award	174
[3]	Comparative Conclusion: Conclusions from the Parties' View	175
[F]	Summary	177

Table of Contents

§3.02	Pleas and Actions to Be Invoked before Arbitral Tribunals	179
[A]	Plea of Lack of Jurisdiction	179
	[1] Procedural Requirements as to the Time by Which the Plea Should Be Raised	180
	[2] Effects of the Arbitral Tribunal's Decision on Jurisdiction	183
	[a] Form of the Decision on Jurisdiction	184
	[b] Effect of the Decision Admitting Jurisdiction	186
	[i] Possibility of Court Control of an Arbitral Tribunal's Positive Decision on Jurisdiction	186
	[ii] Res Judicata Effect of an Arbitral Tribunal's Positive Decision on Jurisdiction	189
	[c] Effect of the Decision Denying Jurisdiction	191
	[i] Possibility of Court Control of an Arbitral Tribunal's Negative Decision on Jurisdiction	191
	[ii] Res Judicata Effect of an Arbitral Tribunal's Negative Decision on Jurisdiction	195
	[3] Comparative Conclusion	195
	[a] Conclusions from the Perspective of National Courts	197
	[b] Conclusions from the Arbitrators' View	197
	[c] Conclusions from the Parties' View	198
[B]	Exceptio Litis Pendens	199
	[1] Appropriateness of the Application of the Lis Pendens Doctrine in the Context of Arbitration	199
	[2] ILA Recommendations on Lis Pendens	201
	[3] Effectiveness of the Plea of Litispendence	203
	[a] German, French and English Law Perspectives	203
	[b] Swiss Law Perspective	205
	[i] With Previous Foreign Court Proceedings	206
	[ii] With Previous Domestic Court Proceedings	212
	[4] Comparative Conclusion	213
	[a] Conclusions from the Arbitrators' View	213
	[b] Conclusions from the Parties' View	215
[C]	Action for Injunctive Relief	216
	[1] Admissibility of Anti-suit Injunctions Issued by Arbitrators	216
	[2] Doctrinal Considerations on Arbitrators' Competence to Issue Anti-suit Injunctions	220
	[3] Effects of Anti-suit Injunctions Issued by Arbitrators	222
	[a] Form of Anti-suit Injunction: Arbitral Award or Procedural Order?	222
	[b] Effectiveness of Anti-suit Orders	222
	[i] Support by National Courts to Enforce an Anti-suit Injunction	223

Table of Contents

	[ii] Possible Sanctions to Be Ordered by an Arbitral Tribunal	224
	[iii] Recognition and Enforcement of Anti-suit Injunctions Abroad	226
[4]	Comparative Conclusion	226
	[a] Conclusions from the Perspective of National Courts	227
	[b] Conclusions from the Arbitrators' View	227
	[c] Conclusions from the Parties' View	229
[D]	Exceptio Rei Judicatae	231
	[1] The ILA Recommendations on Res Judicata	232
	[2] Effectiveness of the Exceptio Rei Judicatae	234
	[a] Law Applicable to Determine Res Judicata Effect of Court Judgments	235
	[b] Court Judgments' Preclusive Effect under National Arbitration Laws and Practice	236
	[i] German, French and English Law Perspectives	236
	[ii] Swiss Law Perspective	237
	[3] Res Judicata: A Principle of International Public Policy?	239
	[4] Comparative Conclusion	241
	[a] Conclusions from the Arbitrators' View	242
	[b] Conclusions from the Parties' View	243
[E]	Summary	244
CHAPTER 4		
	Final Conclusion	247
§4.01	Effects of Parallel Proceedings	248
	[A] Party-Related Concerns	248
	[B] System-Related Concerns	249
	[C] Interim Conclusion	249
§4.02	Evaluation and Comparison of the Jurisdictional Pleas and Actions in the Context of Parallel Proceedings	250
	[A] Exceptio Arbitri before National Courts and Plea of Lack of Jurisdiction before Arbitral Tribunals	250
	[1] Scope of Review of National Courts/Arbitral Tribunals	250
	[2] Substantive and Procedural Requirements	251
	[3] Res Judicata Effect of Decision on Jurisdiction	252
	[B] Plea of Litispence before National Courts and Arbitral Tribunals	253
	[C] Applications for Anti-suit/Anti-arbitration Injunctions before National Courts and Applications for Anti-suit Injunctions before Arbitral Tribunals	255
	[D] Actions for Declaratory Relief	257

Table of Contents

[E]	Plea of Res Judicata before National Courts and Arbitral Tribunals	258
	[1] International Principle of Res Judicata	258
	[2] Procedural Requirements	259
	[3] Preclusive Effect of Res Judicata	259
[F]	Conclusion on Evaluation and Comparison	260
§4.03	Conclusion in Scenarios	264
[A]	Scenario No 1: Arbitral Proceedings Were Initiated Prior to Court Proceedings	264
	[1] If the National Court Is Located at the Seat of Arbitration	264
	[2] If the National Court Is Located Abroad	267
[B]	Scenario No 2: Court Proceedings Were Initiated Prior to Arbitral Proceedings	269
	[1] If the Seat of the Arbitral Tribunal Is Located in the Same Country as the Court Proceedings	270
	[2] If the Seat of the Arbitral Tribunal Is Located Abroad	271
[C]	Scenario No 3: Final Court Judgment with Subsequent Arbitral Proceedings	272
[D]	Scenario No 4: Final Arbitral Award with Subsequent Court Proceedings	273
	Bibliography	275
	Table of Cases	299
	Table of Materials	311
	Index	313

<http://www.pbookshop.com>