About th	he Author	vii		
Preface	offi	xvii		
Forewor	rd vledgments Abbreviations 1	xix		
Acknow	vledgments	xxi		
List of A	Abbreviations	xxiii		
Introduc	ction	1		
Chapter	1			
General	Aspects of Recognition of Foreign Arbitral Awards in Brazil	5		
§1.01	Legal Framework	5		
	[A] Federal Statutory Provisions	6		
	[B] Treaty Provisions	7		
	[1] Ratification of the New York Convention and Its			
	Interplay with the Brazilian Arbitration Act	8		
	[C] The Internal Rules of the Superior Court of Justice	9		
	[D] Summary	9		
§1.02	Limitation Period for the Commencement of Recognition Proceedings			
§1.03	Duration of Recognition Proceedings before the Superior Court of			
	Justice	11		
	[A] Recognition Applications Challenged by the Respondents	11		
	[B] Recognition Applications Not Challenged by the Respondents	18		
\$1.04 The Key Importance of Counsel Attentive Care in Recognition				
	Proceedings			

CHAPTER	2			
Recogni	tion Proceedin	gs before the Superior Court of Justice	23	
§2.01	The Superior Court of Justice 23			
§2.02	Who Decides Recognition Proceedings within the Superior Court of			
	Justice?		24	
\$2.03 The Role Played by the Federal Public Attorney's Office in Recogn				
	Proceedings		24	
§2.04	Standing			
	[A] Use of a	Foreign Arbitral Award as Evidence in Court		
	Proceed	ings in Brazil	26	
	[B] Assignm	nent of Rights Arising Out of the Foreign Arbitral		
	Award		26	
	[C] Merger		27	
	[D] Recogni	tion Application by Proxy	28	
	[E] Standing	g Previously Asserted by the Judiciary of the Country of		
	Origin, V	Whose Law Was Applied to the Merits of the Dispute	28	
	[F] Summar	y CO	29	
§2.05	Filing and Add	dressing the Request for Recognition	29	
	[A] The Con	nplaint	29	
	[B] Counsel	Duly Empowered	30	
		in Issue. Loss of Suit Feet	31	
	[D] Is It Nec	ressary for Foreign Applicants to Post a Bond?	32	
		ntiality in Recognition Proceedings	32	
§2.06	Evidence Requ	lired	32	
	[A] The For	eign Arbitral Award	33	
	[1] Re	easoned Awara?	33	
	[2] In	terlocutory, Partial and Final Awards	35	
	[B] Must the	e Awara Be Previously Confirmed by a Court of the		
	Seat?		36	
	[C] The Arb	it ation Agreement	37	
	[D] The Arb	itration Rules	38	
	[E] The Cor	itract	38	
	[F] Proof of	the Arbitral Tribunal's Jurisdiction	39	
		nat the Award Is Final	39	
	[H] Proof of	Proper Notice	40	
		r Certification. Document Translation	41	
	-	Additional Documentation during the Course of		
		tion Proceedings	43	
	[K] Summar		43	
§2.07	-	issed for Failure to Fulfill the Formal Requirements.		
	Renewing the	-	44	
§2.08	Service of Process 45			
§2.09	Default Court-Appointed Curator 45			

§2.10	Defenses			
	[A]	The Parties to the Agreement Lacked Capacity	46	
	[B]	The Arbitration Agreement Was Not Valid under the Law		
		to Which the Parties Subjected It, or, Failing Any Indication		
		Thereon, under the Law of the Country Where the Award Was		
		Made	47	
	[C]	The Defendant Was Not Given Proper Notice of the Appointment		
		of the Arbitrator or of the Arbitration Proceedings, or Was		
		Unable to Present Its Case	48	
	[D]	The Arbitral Award Addresses Disputes Not Covered by the		
		Arbitration Agreement, and It Is Not Possible to Separate the		
		Decision on These Matters from Those Parts of the Award That		
		Do Fall within the Scope of the Arbitration Agreement	51	
	[E]	The Composition of the Arbitral Authority or the Arbitral		
		Procedure Was Not in Accordance with the Submission		
		Agreement or the Arbitration Clause, or, in the Absence of		
		Any Such Agreement, Was Not in Accordance with the Law		
		of the Country Where the Arbitration Took Place	52	
	[F]	The Arbitral Award Has Not yet Become Binding on the		
		Parties, or Has Been Set Aside or Suspended by a Court of the		
		Country in Which the Arbitral Award Was Made	53	
	[G]	According to Brazilian Law, the Subject Matter of the Dispute		
	r * * 1	Is Not Capable of Settlement by Arbitration	54	
	[H]	The Arbitral Award Is Offensive to Brazilian Public Policy	56	
		[1] International or National Public Policy?	56	
		[2] The Current Known Boundaries of Brazilian		
		National Public Policy	57	
		[3] Violation of Brazilian National Public Policy Due to		
		the Curaulation of Foreign Exchange Variation with	-	
		Currency Restatement	58	
		[4] Rejected Allegations of Violation of Brazilian Public	-	
	[1]	Policy	59	
	[I]	The Arbitral Award Violates Brazilian Sovereignty	61	
		[1] Pending Lawsuit in Brazil Challenging the Validity	()	
		of the Underlying Contract / Arbitration Clause	62	
		[2] Plaintiff Involved in Court Proceedings in Brazil		
		Prior to the Commencement of Recognition Proceedings	66	
		[3] The Existence of a Res judicata Decision Relating to		
		Some of the Issues Discussed in the Foreign Arbitral	(7	
		Award Brought for Recognition	67 68	
	[1]	[4] Payment Indexed to Foreign Exchange Variation	68	
	[J]	The Arbitral Award Violates the Dignity of the Human Person	68	
	[K]	Jurisdictional Challenges Shall Be Made in the Arbitration in	60	
		Due Time	69	

§2.11			iff's Reply and the Defendant's Rebuttal	70		
§2.12		~	on Proceedings Ready for Decision. The Decision-Making			
62.12			ithin the Superior Court of Justice	71		
§2.13			rithin the Superior Court of Justice	72		
	[A]		ion for Clarification	72		
	[B]		rnal Appeal (If Applicable)	72 73		
§2.14	•	ournment of Recognition Proceedings				
§2.15	Settlement before the Superior Court of Justice Interim or Conservatory Measures Granted in the Course of					
§2.16						
		~	on Proceedings	74		
	[A]		zing of Assets	75		
	[B]		cing the Corporate Veil (and Freezing of Assets)	75		
	[C]		ion of Protest	76		
§2.17			of the Writ of Enforcement	76		
§2.18		-	on Decision Becomes Res Judicata: Dismissal of Pending			
2		rt Case	es in Brazil	77		
CHAPTE						
			ferits in Recognition Proceedings before the Superior			
Court o				79		
§3.01		Refusals of Recognition Applications by the Superior Court of				
	Justi			79		
	[A]		es in Which Recognition Was Refused by the Superior	79		
		[1]	Plexus Cotton Limitea v. Santana Têxtil Ltda. (SEC			
			No. 967/GB), Decided in February 2006	79		
		[2]	Oleaginosa Moreno Hermanos Sociedad Anónima			
			Comerciai Industrial Financeira Inmobiliaria Y			
			Agropecuaria v. Moinho Paulista Ltda. (SEC			
			No. 866/GB), Decided in May 2006	80		
		[3]				
		r-1	Corporation S/A (SEC No. 833/US), Decided in August			
			2006	81		
		[4]	Indutech SPA v. Algocentro Armazéns Gerais Ltda.	01		
		[-]	(SEC No. 978/GB), Decided in December 2008	81		
		[5]	Kanematsu USA Inc. v. ATS – Advanced	01		
		[0]	Telecommunications Systems Do Brasil Ltda. (SEC No.			
			885/US), Decided in August 2010	82		
		[6]	SSangyong Corporation v. Eldorado Indústrias Plásticas	02		
		[0]	<i>Ltda.</i> (SEC No. 826/KR), Decided in September 2010	82		
	[B]	Case	es in Which Recognition Was Partially Refused by the	02		
	נטן		erior Court of Justice	83		
		[1]	Kia Motors Corporation v. Washington Armênio et alii	00		
		[1]	(SEC No. 1/KR), Decided in October 2011	83		
			(010 100, 1/100), Declaca III Octobel 2011	05		

		[2]	GE Medical Systems Information Technologies Inc. v. Paramedics Electromedicina Comercial Ltda. (SEC No.			
		[3]	854/US), Decided in October 2013 Construcciones y Auxiliar de Ferrocarriles S/A et alii v.	84		
		[-]	Supervia Concessionária de Transporte Ferroviário S/A			
			(SEC No. 2.410/UY), Decided in December 2013	87		
§3.02	The Merits of the Arbitral Award Will Not Be Reviewed by the					
	Supe	rior T	ribunal of Justice	88		
	[A]	-	ptio Non Adimpleti Contractus	89		
	[B]	Cont	ractual Performance (or Breach)	89		
	[C]	Cont	ractual Breach Due to Bad Weather. Unjust Outcome of			
		the A	Arbitration	90		
	[D]	Choi	ce of Law Issues	91		
	[E]	The	Nature of the Contract (Whether or Not a Contract of			
			esion)	91		
	[F]		e Majeure	92		
	[G]		st Enrichment	92		
	[H]		of Evidence to Support the Award. Contractual Breach			
			to Events beyond the Respondent's Control	93		
	[I]		Underlying Contract Was Procured by Fraud. Pending			
			stigation by the Police	93		
	[J]		pound Interest	94		
	[K]		and Several Liability Established by the Arbitral Award	94		
	[L]		Award Decided a Matter Related to a Contract Which			
			the Subject of Another Arbitration	95		
	[M]		ngful Judgment Due to the Absence of a Penalty Clause			
			e Contract	95		
	[N]		ake on Submitting to Arbitration and in Relation to the			
			al Parties to the Dispute; during the Negotiation Phase,			
			Defendants Were Assisted by an Economist, Not by a			
			er, Arbitral Tribunal Wrongly Excluded Three Companies			
			the Arbitration, Misapplied the Applicable Law, and			
			d to Be Impartial; Violation of Due Process and of the			
			ersarial System; Defendants Were Denied Their Right to			
			ent Their Case	96		
	[0]	Cont	ract Invalidity	96		
CHAPTER	4					
		lecogr	nition Decisions Rendered by the Superior Court of			
Justice	-	5		99		
§4.01	Extra	ordin	ary Appeal	99		
§4.02						
	Decis	-	- -	101		

Chapter	5		
The Rol	e of the Superior Court of Justice in International Judicial		
Coopera	tion. Will the Court Support International Arbitration Proceedings?	103	
§5.01 Court Support to Arbitration Proceedings under the Brazilian			
	Arbitration Act	103	
§5.02 International Judicial Cooperation through Letters Rogatory			
	[A] Enforcement Measures Requested by Means of Letters		
	Rogatory	105	
§5.03	Summary	106	
Chapter	6		
Enforce	ment of Foreign Arbitral Awards	107	
§6.01	Limitation Period for Commencement of Enforcement Proceedings	107	
§6.02	Enforcement Proceedings	109	
5000		109	
	[B] Filing for Enforcement: Evidence Required	110	
	 [A] Applicable Law [B] Filing for Enforcement: Evidence Required [C] The Competent Federal Court [D] Loss of Suit Fees in Enforcement Proceedings 	110	
	[D] Loss of Suit Fees in Enforcement Proceedings	111	
	[E] Service of Process	111	
	[F] Payment	111	
	[G] Penalty of 10% in Case of Default by the Defendant	112	
	[H] Post-award Interest Set by the Enforcement Judge	112	
	[I] Contempt of Court in Enforcement Proceedings	112	
	[J] Attachment of Assets	113	
	[K] Further Enforcement Measures. "Online-Attachment".		
	Piercing the Corporate Veil	114	
	[L] Attachment Notification and Filing of Defense	115	
	[M] Stay of Expropriation Measures	115	
§6.03	Limited Defense Grounds	116	
	[A] Lack or Nullity of Service of Process	117	
	[B] Incorrect Attachment or Wrong Appraisal	117	
	[C] Lack of Standing	118	
	[D] Excess in the Amount Being Enforced	118	
	[E] Any Supervening Impeditive, Modifying or Terminating		
	Cause of the Obligation Contained in the Foreign Arbitral		
	Award	118	
	[F] Motion for Advanced Dismissal of Enforcement	119	
§6.04	Expropriation of Assets	120	
§6.05	Appeals	121	
Concluc	ling Remarks	123	
Annex 1			
Annex 2			

Annex 3	133
Annex 4	139
Annex 5	149
Annex 6	165
Bibliography	171
Table of Cases	173
Index	179

http://www.pbookshop.com

http://www.bbookshop.com